

Regulatory advice 6: How to prepare an access and participation plan

Supplementary access and participation plan guidance

Reference OfS 2023.66

Enquiries to app@officeforstudents.org.uk

Publication date 7 December 2023

Contents

Introduction	3
General expectations	5
Key references Further advice	5 5
Introduction and strategic aim	7
Key references Further advice	7 7
Risks to equality of opportunity	8
Key references Further advice	8 8
Objectives	18
Key references Further advice Putting it into practice	18 18 18
Intervention strategies and expected outcomes	21
Key references Further advice Putting it into practice	21 21 22
Targets	43
Key references Further advice Putting it into practice	43 43 44
Whole provider approach	46
Key references Further advice Putting it into practice	46 46 47
Student consultation	49
Key references Further advice Putting it into practice	49 49 50
Evaluation of the plan	54
Key references Further advice Putting it into practice	54 54 55
Investment	63
Key references Further advice Putting it into practice	63 63 63
Provision of information to students	65 1

Key references	65
Access and participation plan summary	66
Key references Further advice Putting it into practice	66 66 66
Annex A: Explanation of terms	68
Glossary Abbreviations	68 73
Annex B: Access and participation plan submission checklist	75
Documents Student submission	75 75
Annexes C-I	76

Introduction

- 1. This regulatory advice sets out practical guidance for a higher education provider in England on how to prepare its access and participation plan. It replaces the version published on 18 May 2023 (OfS 2023.21).
- 2. An English higher education provider is required to have an approved access and participation plan if it is registered in the Approved (fee cap) category of the OfS Register and wishes to charge above the basic tuition fee limit for 'qualifying persons' on 'qualifying courses'. This requirement stems from the Higher Education and Research Act 2017 (HERA) and is reflected in ongoing condition of registration A1.
- 3. The aim of this regulatory advice is to support a provider in creating a clear and effective access and participation plan. This advice sets out further information about the ways in which a provider can meet the requirements and expectations set out in Regulatory notice 1.¹ As such, it is important that a provider reads this advice alongside Regulatory notice 1 to ensure that it fully understands the OfS requirements and expectations.
- 4. This advice follows the expected structure of an access and participation plan, as set out in Regulatory notice 1. It provides advice on the information a provider may wish to include in each section of its plan and includes a number of illustrative examples. The examples provide an indication of how the guidance set out in Regulatory notice 1 may be applied but are nonexhaustive. When preparing its plan a provider should refer to the expectations set out in Regulatory notice 1 and carefully consider its own context.
- 5. The advice is issued by the Office for Students (OfS), under section 29 of HERA which relates to the approval of access and participation plans, and section 35 of HERA which relates to the identification of good practice and giving advice about such practice to registered providers.
- 6. We will update this advice as new evidence emerges, as appropriate. A provider can refer to this advice during the design, implementation and evaluation stages of the plan. Plans will be assessed against expectations set out in Regulatory notice 1. However, during the assessment process and monitoring we may draw providers' attention to particular aspects of this advice in order to support continuous improvement in relation to the promotion of equality of opportunity.
- 7. The following annexes are published separately and are available on the OfS website:²
 - Annex C: Access and participation plan template
 - Annex D: Guidance on completing the Fees, investments and targets (FIT) document
 - Annex E: Template fees, investments and targets (FIT) document
 - Annex F: Student submission guidance

¹ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-notice-1-access-and-participation-plan-guidance/</u>.

² Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-advice-6-how-to-prepare-your-access-and-participation-plan-effective-practice-advice/</u>.

- Annex G: Student submission template
- Annex H: Guidance for completing a summary of approved access and participation plan
- Annex I: Plan summary template.
- 8. If there are any inconsistencies between Regulatory notice 1 and this document, then the Regulatory notice will prevail.

Related information

- Regulatory notice 1: Access and participation plan guidance
- Equality of Opportunity Risk Register (EORR).

General expectations

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Part B: Contents of an access and participation plan	18-117

Further advice

- 9. A plan should be publicly available and written for non-expert audiences, including prospective and current students, schools, parents, carers and guardians, and other interested members of the public. As such, we expect plans will be:
 - **Clear**: Plans should be readable, written in plain English, and avoid unnecessary jargon. Plans should be publicly available for the OfS, the provider's governing body, prospective and current students, schools, parents, carers and guardians, and other interested members of the public.
 - **Accessible**: We expect all plans to be accessible for readers with different impairments, and therefore to comply with the standards in the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines.³
- 10. A provider is expected to use the template available on the OfS website to structure its access and participation plan.⁴ The OfS expects a plan to be a single document that should not normally exceed 30 pages of A4. There is no minimum length expectation.
- 11. A plan may include the following annexes, which are exempt from the 30-page limit:
 - a. Annex A: Further information and analysis relating to the identification and prioritisation of key risks to equality of opportunity.
 - This is not expected to contain a full assessment of performance, but may include further explanation of the approach to conducting the assessment of performance and further detail on indications of risk and underlying risks set out in the main text of the access and participation plan.
 - This may acknowledge any significant risks to equality of opportunity that may be present but that are not being addressed through the plan with an explanation for this.

³ See <u>https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21/</u>.

⁴ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-advice-6-how-to-prepare-your-access-and-participation-plan-effective-practice-advice/</u>.

- b. Annex B: Further information that sets out the rationale, assumptions and evidence base for each intervention strategy that is included in the access and participation plan.
 - Providers are not expected to include the full theories of change in the plan but, if desired, this can be presented or articulated in this annex.
- 12. The OfS will append the following information to a plan for each provider after the approval of a plan:
 - summary of fees for year one of the provider's plan
 - summary of the proposed investment (access, financial support, and research and evaluation) for the duration of the plan
 - the targets and milestones set by the provider.
- 13. These documents should be submitted using the OfS provider portal. Submissions via email will not be accepted.
- 14. A plan may include a separate student submission in relation to the provider's plan. This should be sent directly to <u>app@officeforstudents.org.uk</u> in advance of, or at the same time as, the provider's submission of its plan. Further information about the student submission can be found at Annex F.⁵
- 15. Alongside the access and participation plan, a provider is expected to submit the Fees, investments and targets (FIT) document. For further information about completing this document, see Annex D.⁶

The access and participation plan and associated documents to submit include:

- access and participation plan (not more than 30 pages)
- Annex A: Further information and analysis relating to the identification and prioritisation of key risks to equality of opportunity *(optional)*
- Annex B: Further information that sets out the rationale, assumptions and evidence base for each intervention strategy that is included in the access and participation plan *(optional)*
- Student submission (optional)
- Fees, investments and targets (FIT) document.

⁵ Annex F is available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-advice-6-how-to-prepare-your-access-and-participation-plan-effective-practice-advice/.</u>

⁶ Annex D is available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-advice-6-how-to-prepare-your-access-and-participation-plan-effective-practice-advice/</u>.

Introduction and strategic aim

The introduction and strategic aim provide the reader with a clear statement about the mission and aims of a provider in order to contextualise the content of the plan.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Overview of an access and participation plan	38a
Introduction and strategic aim	43

Further advice

- 16. A provider is expected to use the introduction to set out its context, mission, and overarching strategic aim, as they relate to the delivery of equality of opportunity for students.
- 17. This account will be significant in our consideration of whether a provider has identified appropriate risks to equality of opportunity.
- 18. A provider may use this section of its plan to highlight its areas of success as well as those in which it seeks to improve its approach to equality of opportunity. However, the introduction should be brief and normally be limited to one side of A4.
- 19. When setting out the introduction to a plan, a provider may want to include:
 - a brief description of the mission of the provider
 - overarching strategic aim in relation to equality of opportunity for all students
 - any additional context that a provider wants readers to know about.

Risks to equality of opportunity

We want to understand how a provider has identified the key risks to equality of opportunity that will be the focus of the plan, and the rationale for this.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Risks to equality of opportunity	38b
	44-61

Further advice

- 20. A provider should use this section to summarise the most pressing or significant risks to equality of opportunity that it will seek to address through the commitments it makes in its plan, and why it is addressing these. It can include further detail at Annex A if necessary.
- 21. When presenting this information, a provider may use charts and graphs to aid communication.

Assessment of performance and identifying risks to equality of opportunity

- 22. We expect a provider to assess its performance. This should identify the greatest risks to equality of opportunity that certain groups may face and that its plan will address. The assessment should:
 - a. Identify indications of risk through an analysis of data and insights.
 - b. Consider what underlying risks these indications may relate to.
- 23. With this analysis the provider can demonstrate that it understands the greatest underlying risks to equality of opportunity for its own students, and any other sector-wide risks that it may have capacity and capability to contribute to addressing. This assessment should inform the intervention strategies in its access and participation plan that are designed to address or mitigate each risk.

Using the Equality of Opportunity Risk Register (EORR) to identify and understand risks to equality of opportunity

- 24. The EORR identifies sector-wide risks that may affect a student's opportunity to access and succeed in higher education, and the student groups that the risks are most likely to affect.
- 25. The EORR is evidence-informed, and we have established that each risk can have a material impact on particular student groups, which is often visible in datasets. We refer to this impact as an 'indication of risk', because it indicates that there may be an underlying risk to equality of opportunity for the student group.

Example of underlying risks and indications of risk

Indication of risk: A provider's UCAS application data shows that students in receipt of free school meals are less likely to receive an offer than students who are not in receipt of free school meals, even once their entry qualifications have been taken into account.

Risk: The EORR suggests that this indication of risk may be caused by four underlying sector-wide risks relating to: knowledge and skills; information and guidance; perception of higher education; and application success rates.

- 26. The EORR gives more detailed guidance on how a provider can explore the relationship between risks to equality of opportunity and indications of risk.⁷
- 27. The indications of risk, the risks and the student groups listed on the EORR are not exhaustive, and may differ at a provider-level. We expect a provider to consider whether the risks in the EORR are relevant in its own context.

Identifying indications of risk

- 28. To undertake a credible assessment of performance, a provider is expected to consider:
 - a. All stages of the student lifecycle and student groups where there are known risks to equality of opportunity, or indications of these risks, across the lifecycle stages in the OfS access and participation data dashboard including:⁸
 - access to higher education
 - **continuation** in the study of higher education qualifications
 - completion of the study of higher education qualifications
 - **attainment:** achievement and the awards made to higher education students at the end of their studies
 - **progression:** into the labour market, further study and other destinations after leaving higher education.
 - b. Any student characteristics highlighted by the EORR that may be affected by risks in a provider's own context or that are likely to be affected by sector-wide risks.⁹

29. In order to undertake a credible assessment of performance, a provider may wish to:

⁷ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/equality-of-opportunity-risk-register/how-to-use-the-risk-register/</u>.

⁸ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/access-and-participation-data-dashboard/</u>.

⁹ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/equality-of-opportunity-risk-register/</u>.

- a. Consider the student groups most likely to be affected by different risks, as highlighted in the EORR.
- b. Use the OfS access and participation dashboard and/or dataset and other published data sources to analyse data from across the whole student lifecycle for a wide range of student groups, particularly those where there are known risks to equality of opportunity as highlighted in the EORR (further information can be found in the <u>using</u> <u>the EORR to identify risks to equality of opportunity section</u>).
- c. Analyse any differences in access or outcomes (indications of risk) between target groups and their comparator group, as well as performance over time.
- d. Disaggregate data where possible and consider intersections of characteristics (see paragraphs 35–38 for more detailed information).
- e. Consider other relevant sources of information such as student survey or other student insight data.
- f. Consider the underlying risks as highlighted by the EORR and other contextual information a provider may hold.
- 30. Where a provider does not have sufficient data to undertake a credible assessment of its performance, it can use the national groups identified in the EORR to identify potential risks to equality of opportunity that its students may be facing, and inform intervention strategies that address these risks.

Considering student groups

- 31. A number of groups are more likely to experience risks to equality of opportunity across the lifecycle (including but not limited to):
 - a. Students in receipt of free school meals.
 - b. Students with certain characteristics, including care experienced students, students who are estranged from their families, and students from Roma, Gypsy and Traveller communities.
 - c. Students with a protected characteristic identified by the Equality Act 2010 who do not experience equality of opportunity because of that protected characteristic.
 - d. Students who experience multiple barriers to higher education or who are identified when looking at intersections of characteristics, such as male students who are in receipt of free school meals.
- 32. The EORR identifies groups that are more likely to experience certain risks to equality of opportunity at a sector-wide level. It encourages providers to consider which of these student groups are also at risk at a provider level.

- 33. Providers can explore these many of these student groups using the OfS access and participation data dashboard, or the application data that they receive through UCAS. Relevant data includes:
 - a. Measures based on individual circumstances or personal characteristics: for example eligibility for free school meals.
 - b. Area-based measures: for example TUNDRA or indices of deprivation. Such measures may be suitable for use by a provider where there are geographical gaps in access to higher education (we would not expect use of the area-based measures in isolation where a provider is addressing a risk to equality of opportunity that is related to individual student circumstances).
 - c. Intersections of characteristics (further information below).
- 34. However, not all student characteristics are recorded in datasets and so in these cases providers may wish to consider using a suitable proxy. For example, data on household income is not commonly available to match to an individual learner record or student application. Instead, providers may consider using eligibility for free school meals.

Intersections of characteristics

- 35. It is important to consider data at a granular level where possible. If a provider considers different aspects of students' backgrounds together, this may reveal more accurate risks to equality of opportunity. A well-rounded assessment of performance should, therefore, take this into account.
- 36. Single characteristic data or aggregated data can mask the student group that is most affected by a risk. An intersectional analysis may also help providers to develop more effectively targeted and designed intervention strategies.
- 37. A consideration of granular, intersectional data may include:
 - a. The intersection between personal characteristics, individual circumstances and school or area-based measures, including the full range of protected characteristics. These are listed in the EORR. For example a provider may want to consider whether the application success rates of students who are in receipt of free school meals vary depending on whether they live in an area of deprivation (such as low Index of Multiple Deprivation decile), or by the type of school that they attend. We would not expect providers to use school or area-based measures without an individual measure (such as free school meals) unless there is strong evidence to suggest that the nature of the risk that individual students may experience is primarily geographic.
 - Intersections of individual student characteristics: for example, the Associations Between Characteristics of Students (ABCS) measure or specific combinations of characteristics. A provider could, for example, use this to consider whether the on-

course attainment rates of students in receipt of free school meals vary depending on ethnicity.¹⁰

- c. Where possible, disaggregating datasets to the greatest extent. By disaggregating data to a level that examines sub-groups and acknowledging that students with a particular characteristic are not a homogenous group, a provider can demonstrate a strong understanding of the characteristics of students and its performance for these groups. This will enable a provider to identify students that may not experience equality of opportunity more effectively. This would normally include:
 - i. Disaggregation of black, Asian and minority ethnic students into individual groups. As noted in Paragraph 37b, a provider should consider intersections of characteristics in its assessment if it has sufficient data, such as intersectionality between ethnicity and socio-economic status (e.g. free school meal eligibility) or disability.
 - ii. Disaggregation of disabled students (including those who are or are not in receipt of Disabled Students' Allowances), including: those with declared mental health conditions; cognitive or learning difficulties; sensory, medical or physical impairment(s); social or communication impairment(s) and multiple health condition(s).
- 38. Other disaggregation may be possible using the OfS individualised data underpinning the OfS access and participation data dashboard or using other data sources. A provider should be aware that analysing and reporting data in more disaggregated or intersectional terms can lead to increased statistical uncertainty if the population size is small (use of ABCS may mitigate this issue because it groups multiple combinations of characteristics with similar outcomes in quintiles). For further information on analysing small numbers, see the section below.

Using available data sources

- 39. To support a provider in assessing its performance, we have made available an access and participation data dashboard. This shows both sector- and provider-specific data on provider performance in equality of opportunity. As this dashboard is publicly available, using it in a provider's assessment of performance allows those reading a provider's plan to verify this and monitor its performance.
- 40. The OfS access and participation dashboard will be updated regularly to account for the latest student and outcomes data available. User guides on accessing the dashboard and dataset can be found on the OfS website.¹¹ Similarly, documentation describing the construction of OfS data indicators (including how to disaggregate for certain groups and how the concept of statistical uncertainty can be understood and applied) can also be found on the OfS website.¹² Not all providers will have data for each group and lifecycle stage due to small numbers of

¹⁰ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/associations-between-characteristics-of-students/</u>.

¹¹ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/access-and-participation-data-dashboard/about-the-data-dashboard/get-the-data/</u>.

¹² See the 2023 algorithms to support OfS regulation, including the 'Description and definition of student outcome and experience measures' and 'Rebuild instructions for 2023 data resources' documents at www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/student-outcome-and-experience-measures/documentation/.

students with certain characteristics, or small datasets overall. We encourage providers to use other published or internal data to support their assessment.

- 41. The access and participation dashboard shows indications of risk in access and student outcomes for student groups compared with their peers, broken down by various student characteristics. Exploring the data alongside the EORR can identify potential risks to equality of opportunity for particular groups of students at national and provider level. As data may only indicate a potential risk, we encourage providers to use qualitative information gathered from students, staff or other relevant stakeholders in order to fully consider and understand whether risks exist in its context.
- 42. Other possible data sources published by the OfS, Jisc, Department for Education (DfE), UCAS, Office for National Statistics (ONS), and similar sector-wide organisations include (but are not limited to):
 - the OfS's student characteristics data: outcomes data¹³
 - the OfS's student outcomes data dashboard (in relation to registration condition B3)¹⁴ and the Teaching Excellence Framework (TEF) data dashboard¹⁵
 - National Student Survey¹⁶
 - Graduate Outcomes survey data¹⁷
 - the OfS's ABCS data¹⁸
 - DfE's destination measures, including longitudinal education outcomes (LEO) data, and data on schools performance, and apprenticeships
 - ONS data on population, labour force and other statistics
 - other national- and provider-level data from the OfS and other sector-wide organisations including those used in the EORR.¹⁹
- 43. When a provider considers these different sources of data, we recommend that it remains alert to issues of consistency in the coverage and definitions of the populations or outcomes being

¹³ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/student-characteristics-data/outcomes-data-dashboard/</u>.

¹⁴ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/student-outcomes-data-dashboard/</u>.

¹⁵ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/tef-data-dashboard/</u>.

¹⁶ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/student-choice-and-flexible-learning/national-</u><u>student-survey-nss/</u>.

¹⁷ Graduate outcomes data is available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/access-and-participation-data-dashboard/</u> and <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/student-characteristics-data/</u>.

¹⁸ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/associations-between-characteristics-of-students/completing-full-time-higher-education/</u>.

¹⁹ Such as relevant publications available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/official-</u> <u>statistics/published-statistics/</u>.

reported (for example, whether the dataset includes students who are not 'qualifying students' on 'qualifying courses' or whether the dataset uses benchmarks as well as absolute performance).²⁰ Care should be taken when considering benchmarked data as this data adjusts for differences in outcomes for particular groups. We recommend that a provider also remains alert to issues of statistical uncertainty, which may be particularly relevant for data based on small student populations.

- 44. Other data sources that are not publicly available may also help to show a fuller picture of a provider's performance, where they supplement other published data and can be transparently monitored by a provider's stakeholders, for example, students (if possible). These could include, but are not limited to:
 - internal data, for example on student access to provider-delivered services such as wellbeing, careers or academic support
 - individualised student data files released to providers by the OfS. These ensure transparency in our approach to regulating student outcomes and equality of opportunity. Providers can use them to consider groupings other than those constructed by the OfS if data is not reportable in the access and participation data dashboard
 - tracking data, including data provided by tracking services such as the Higher Education Access Tracker (HEAT), Aim Higher West Midlands, East Midlands Widening Participation Research and Evaluation Partnership (EMWPREP), and UCAS Outreach Evaluator
 - UCAS provider data
 - Student Loans Company (SLC) data
 - local authorities' data, for example to supplement provider data in relation to care experienced students and disabled students
 - data and evidence about local and regional economies, including from Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEPs) and Local Skills Improvement Plans
 - national, regional and subject-level data, qualitative evidence, research and evaluation evidence, and academic evidence to highlight groups where risks to equality of opportunity may be present to a provider's performance in context.

Analysing small numbers

45. A provider may find that intersectional or disaggregated analysis is limited by small student numbers or high levels of statistical uncertainty. The latter is often a consequence of small student numbers. For characteristics included in the OfS access and participation data dashboard, we encourage providers to look at the information we have provided and consider the statistical uncertainty associated with each indicator value we have calculated – whether based on large or small student numbers. A provider may also want to consider whether

²⁰ For the condition of registration and other purposes, 'qualifying persons' on 'qualifying courses' are prescribed by regulations made under HERA. These are available online and are amended from time to time.

information on statistical uncertainty is available for other datasets it may be using to understand its performance.

- 46. Considering statistical uncertainty is important to ensure that users can have confidence in their use and interpretation of statistics. As the actual students in a provider's observed population are just one possible realisation of many other populations of students who could have attended that provider, or may do so in the future, statistical uncertainty exists because of the potential for random variation in student behaviours and outcomes. A provider is encouraged to consider this potential for random variation when assessing its performance.
- 47. Where analysis is limited by small student numbers or high levels of statistical uncertainty, a provider may use the EORR to consider whether students are likely to experience risks to equality of opportunity. A provider may also consider gathering information and data in different ways, for example, through focus groups or other qualitative analysis. A provider may wish to consider using this information in place of, or in conjunction with, analysis of quantitative data.
- 48. Access and participation plans must not include information that makes individuals identifiable: publication of the plans must comply with the UK General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Where the numbers of students with particular characteristics are too small to inform their assessment, a provider may choose to use an aggregate of two or four years of data to ensure that data is more robust and that individuals cannot be identified. However, where this is not possible or appropriate, providers should summarise where this is the case. A provider can use qualitative analysis, regional or national statistics, or academic evidence, to assess the indications of risk and barriers in its context.
- 49. We would expect a credible plan to also do the following:
 - a. State in the plan, or the annex that sets out a provider's assessment of performance, where data has been supressed or not included due to small numbers.
 - b. Describe the confidence it has in the performance data, and whether or how it considers statistical uncertainty to have had an impact on its assessment of performance.
 - c. Comply with the UK GDPR and the Data Protection Act 2018 in relation to using and publishing information that may identify individuals.²¹
 - d. Consider the EORR, internal data, and any other national, regional and local data and evidence (including qualitative data).
 - e. Include timebound written commitments for collecting and reporting on data that is currently suppressed.
- 50. This will be particularly important where a provider has a small number of students (for both a particular group or whole student population).
- 51. If a provider identifies areas in which it has no data or knowledge, it may wish to consider whether a lack of information and data is contributing to a risk, and if so whether it can put in

²¹ See <u>https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-data-protection/guide-to-the-general-data-protection-regulation-gdpr/</u>.

place any data collection systems to mitigate it, or seek to develop better understanding through evaluation.

Considering underlying risks

- 52. Following this analysis, a provider is expected to use the EORR and other sources of evidence to identify which underlying risks to equality of opportunity may be contributing towards the indications of risks it can see in the data.
- 53. By identifying underlying risks to equality of opportunity a provider can take a more informed and targeted approach to developing an effective intervention strategy (see <u>intervention</u> <u>strategies and expect outcomes</u>).

Summarising the assessment of performance

- 54. In the 'Risks to equality of opportunity' section of the plan we expect providers to include a clear rationale for why it is focussing on the indications of risk and risks to equality of opportunity that it has set out. A provider can include further detail in Annex A of its plan where necessary.
- 55. A summary of a provider's assessment of performance should be included in the access and participation plan and should normally focus on the greatest indications of risks that the provider has identified. Depending on its size and context, a provider may identify a greater number of indications of risk than it would have the capacity to address through its access and participation plan. In such a case, a provider should present a clear rationale for the number and nature of the indications of risk it has chosen to focus on in its plan. A provider may also choose to include further information in Annex A.

Example approach to assessment of performance

The University of Edgestow is a large, highly-selective provider with over 12,000 students. To carry out an assessment of performance it has:

• used a number of datasets. It has disaggregated student groups as much as possible, and explored how characteristics of its students intersect so that is has a meaningful and granular understanding of its students and indications of risk.

Through this exercise it has identified a number of indications of risk. These include a very low proportion of applications from white students eligible for free school meals and a difference in on-course attainment between black and all other full-time undergraduate students.

It has used the EORR to link these indications of risks to potential risk(s) to equality of opportunity. The provider has established:

- a very low proportion of applications from white, free school meal-eligible students may relate to three potential risks in the EORR: prior knowledge and skills; information and guidance, and perception of higher education.
- a difference in on-course attainment between black and non-black full-time undergraduate students may be related to six potential risks in the EORR.

The provider has also considered the EORR and determined that it has capability and expertise to further address potential risks to equality of opportunity for care experienced students. The provider:

- currently has very low numbers of care experienced students
- has used the EORR to better understand the underlying risks to equality of opportunity that this group may face
- has undertaken direct consultation with current care-experienced students which has confirmed that some of those risks are indeed affecting students, and in exploring this the provider has identified an additional specific risk to equality of opportunity that those students face which goes beyond those on the sector-wide EORR.

It has considered how far all the risks it has identified may be contributing to the indications of risks for different student groups, and will use this information to inform its intervention strategies.

The provider has summarised its assessment of performance and included it as an annex of its plan.

Objectives

Objectives set out a provider's ambitions for addressing the indications of risks to equality of opportunity that it has identified through its assessment of performance.

It is important that objectives are timebound and measurable so that any reader of a plan will be able to see the progress a provider intends to make and can hold it accountable for them.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Objectives	38c
	61-62

Further advice

- 56. A credible example of an objective would be one that addresses an indication of risk that a provider sees in its data and/or in the EORR.
- 57. We expect that each objective will relate to an indication of risk that is likely to be caused by risks to equality of opportunity. A provider's intervention strategy can address the underlying risks to equality of opportunity through different activities. Where relevant this may intersect across the student lifecycle.
- 58. A credible plan would consider the following when setting objectives to address the risks to equality of opportunity:
 - objectives relate to the indications of risks and underlying risks to equality of opportunity identified in a provider's context from the assessment of performance and/or the EORR
 - objectives are ambitious, realistic and lead to change
 - objectives are timebound and measurable (where possible)
 - whether the objective needs to be set over a longer timeframe than the plan.
- 59. Small providers might be expected to have less capacity to address fewer objectives, targets, and intervention strategies in the plan than a larger provider.

Putting it into practice

60. We expect objectives to be set for the most significant indications of risks identified at a provider or at a national level. When setting objectives, a provider can consider the lead-in time

for new activities being designed and delivered and whether there are any other factors that may impact on its progress, such as anticipated changes in the nature or volume of its provision of higher education.

- 61. We acknowledge that some indications of risks will take longer to address and, as such, the related objectives may be set over a longer timeframe than the duration of the plan.
- 62. A provider's objectives may be directly informed by the data used to identify the associated indications of risk in the assessment of performance. For example, if a provider has identified an indication of risk in attainment between those under the age of 21 and those aged over 21, it may wish to set an objective to eliminate the difference in attainment between those two groups within six years of the plan start date. This could then be translated into a numerical target with measurable annual milestones for the maximum duration of the plan which work towards this (for further information see the targets section).
- 63. Where data is limited or unavailable from the access and participation data dashboard or other sources, but a risk has been identified through the EORR, the objective may be framed in a way that would demonstrate the progress that a provide expects to make in mitigating the risk to equality of opportunity. These should clearly relate to estimates of annual milestones and numerical targets. We expect the evidence and activities listed in the intervention strategy for this objective to be evaluated to be able to present progress being made on the objective within the timeframe of the plan.

Example objectives

Through its assessment of performance, the University of Edgestow identified a number of indications of risks. It will address nine of these across the student lifecycle. The objectives that it set for two of these indications of risk are:

Indication of risk: A very low proportion of successful applications from white, free school meal-eligible students.

Risks to equality of opportunity: knowledge and skills; information and guidance; perception of higher education.

Objective: To ensure that white, free school meal-eligible students have equal opportunity to make a successful application to the provider by 2029-30.

Target: To eliminate the application success rate difference between applications from white, free school meal-eligible students and all other students by 2029-30.

Indication of risk: A difference in on-course attainment between black and white students.

Risks to equality of opportunity: Information and guidance; insufficient personal support; insufficient academic support; sense of belonging; cost pressures.

Objective: To ensure that black students have equal opportunity to attain a degree award that reflects their academic capabilities by 2029-30.

Target: To eliminate the differences in attainment between black and white students by 2028-29.

Intervention strategies and expected outcomes

We want to understand how a provider intends to address its risks to equality of opportunity, and the evidence base it has used to support the use of activities.

Some activities undertaken by a provider as part of its intervention strategy may extend beyond the time period of a provider's plan. A provider should set out the short, medium and the longer term outcomes it intends to achieve in these instances.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Intervention strategies	38d
	63-71

Further advice

- 64. An intervention strategy is a coherent group of activities or measures, such as new policies or programmes of work, that a provider will undertake or put in place to address the risks to equality of opportunity it has identified through its assessment of performance and achieve its objectives. The intended outcome(s) of an intervention strategy should relate directly to reducing or eliminating these risks, and to any related targets and objectives. The outcomes may also contribute to other objectives. Individual activities within the intervention strategy may have their own outcomes.
- 65. To design a credible intervention strategy, a provider may:
 - develop a theory of change underpinning each intervention strategy. The theory of change should aim to address the underlying risks to equality of opportunity that may be resulting in the indications of risk that a provider has identified through its assessment of performance
 - use the best available evidence to design the intervention strategies, and indicate the strength of this evidence, referencing this where possible
 - consider the particular needs of the different student groups and intersectional characteristics of underrepresentation or disadvantage (if more than one student group is being targeted)
 - collaborate to maximise impact, where possible (further information can be found in the collaboration section)

- co-create or consult with students, in particular those who are intended to benefit from the intervention strategies, to better understand the risks that they face, and how these can best be mitigated
- align the intervention strategy with its whole provider approach (further information can be found in the whole provider approach section)
- have mechanisms in place to understand if the intervention strategy is making progress against the objective(s)
- use the intervention strategy template in the <u>intervention strategy example section</u>, and included within the access and participation plan template at Annex C, to summarise information on the activities and outcomes of its plan.²² An evaluation plan template can also be found in the access and participation plan template.
- consider how the impact of its activities in mitigating the risks it has identified will be properly evaluated using the OfS standards of evidence as a guide.²³ A provider is expected to prioritise evaluation of activities for which the existing sector evidence is weak and/or for which high impact and/or high investment is expected. In these instances we would encourage a provider to aim to generate empirical evidence (Type 2) at a minimum and where appropriate (see Table 1 at paragraph 211 for further information about this). This may be done at an activity or intervention strategy level.

Putting it into practice

- 66. A provider is expected to have an appropriate and realistic number of intervention strategies based on the risks that it has identified through its assessment of performance, its objectives and its context.
- 67. For each indication of risk that is identified for action through the assessment of performance, a provider is expected to develop an intervention strategy that addresses the underlying risks to equality of opportunity, and that contains information on the activities that it will undertake to mitigate these risks and achieve the objectives it has set. Intervention strategies can include a continuation of existing activity or newly designed activities, but should all clearly relate to the underlying theory of change and expected outcomes.
- 68. The OfS and the Centre for Transforming Access and Student Outcomes in Higher Education (TASO) have produced effective practice resources covering specific groups and evidence related to common activities undertaken by providers.²⁴ These resources provide non-exhaustive examples of the types of activities that may be included within a provider's intervention strategies. This advice will not include guidance on supporting specific target student groups.

²² Annex C is available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-advice-6-how-to-prepare-your-access-and-participation-plan-effective-practice-advice/</u>.

²³ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/standards-of-evidence-and-evaluating-impact-of-outreach/</u>.

²⁴ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/effective-practice/</u>. Also see <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/toolkit/</u> and <u>https://taso.org.uk/research/publications/</u>.

Develop a theory of change

- 69. A theory of change process should support a provider to articulate the changes it wants to make, that will contribute towards the overall objective(s). It should give information on what a provider plans to do to achieve the outcomes and objective (e.g. the activities it will deliver), along with a description of how and why (the causal links) the activities should lead to change. Developing a theory of change can help a provider improve its strategy and the quality of evaluation.
- 70. As set out in Regulatory notice 1, we expect that an effective intervention strategy will reflect the theory of change. A provider may wish to create additional theories of change for particular aspects of an intervention strategy.
- 71. For providers that are new to developing a theory of change, TASO has developed resources to support providers using this approach, including a simple template based on a logic model that can be used for each intervention strategy, workshop slides and examples of prepopulated theories of change related to different TASO evaluations.²⁵
- 72. Issues related to equality of opportunity often sit within complex and changing contexts and the long-term impact of an intervention strategy may be affected by other internal or external factors. In these more complex contexts, a provider may want to invest time in an appropriate theory of change process that facilitates deeper strategic thinking and challenge to its intervention strategies; for example, by using the Defra theory of change tool,²⁶ NPC step-by-step guide to a theory of change,²⁷ TASO's enhanced theory of change resources,²⁸ and Advance HE's ChangeBusters resources.²⁹
- 73. TASO has produced tools and reports on common outcome measures (including short, medium and long term) used for different activities across the student lifecycle which can support a provider in determining the expected outcomes.³⁰ The Mapping Outcomes and Activities Tool (MOAT) can be used to link activities and outcomes, and supports providers during the development of a theory of change as well as supporting the evaluation of activity.³¹
- 74. If a provider wishes to include further detail or the full underlying theories of change they can be included in Annex B of the plan. The OfS may request further information on underlying theories of change to support its assessment of the plan.

²⁵ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/evaluation-guidance-resources/toc/</u>.

²⁶ Available at <u>https://www.technopolis-group.com/report/defra-theory-of-change-toc-tool/</u>.

²⁷ Available at <u>https://www.thinknpc.org/resource-hub/ten-steps/</u>.

²⁸ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/evaluation-guidance-resources/toc/enhanced-theory-of-change-templates/</u>.

²⁹ Available at <u>https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/knowledge-hub/changebusters</u>.

³⁰ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/evaluation-guidance-resources/toc/theory-of-change-leader-pack/</u> and <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/evaluation-guidance-resources/access-and-success-questionnaire/</u>.

³¹ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/evaluation-guidance-resources/mapping-outcomes-and-activities-tool-moat-resources/</u>.

Use evidence to inform activities

- 75. It is important that a provider explains clearly why it considers that an intervention strategy will be effective. This may be based on existing evidence, or if it is a new activity, it may be based on research or other insights related to potential outcomes. It is important that a provider researches the available evidence base when designing measures or activities within an intervention strategy to gain a better understanding of how impactful it is likely to be, for whom and in what contexts. Where a provider is designing innovative interventions with a limited evidence base, a clear plan for evaluating impact will be particularly important.
- 76. Evidence informing a provider's intervention strategies may be from published or internal research and evaluations, or evidence published from other providers or organisations, including evidence obtained from formative and summative evaluation.
- 77. A summary of the evidence used to inform intervention strategy design and the rationale for choosing these activities can be included in the main body of the plan, and full details of the evidence used can be set out in Annex B.

Useful sources of evidence

- 78. Providers have access to a number of useful sources of evidence that can be used to inform the intervention strategies as well as the individual activities that sit within. These could include:
 - TASO's evidence toolkit and publications³²
 - evidence from previous or ongoing OfS challenge competitions, OfS funding competitions or HEFCE catalyst fund projects
 - OfS effective practice resources, case studies³³ and insight briefs³⁴
 - Uni Connect evidence bank³⁵
 - a provider's own evaluation and research findings
 - evidence shared from other providers, and from partnerships and networks that providers engage with.
- 79. This is not intended as an exhaustive list, but rather a starting point to explore further evidence relevant to the activities a provider plans to deliver. Further sources of evidence can be found in the topic areas below.
- 80. Where a provider is developing new and innovative activity, it can use relevant data and evidence (even where this may be limited), co-design the activity with the groups being

³² See <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/toolkit/ and available at https://taso.org.uk/research/publications/</u>.

³³ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/effective-practice/</u>.

³⁴ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/data-and-analysis/insight-briefs/</u>.

³⁵ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/fourth-independent-review-of-impact-evaluation-evidence-submitted-by-uni-connect-partnerships/</u>.

targeted for the activity, and set out a more detailed evaluation plan to show credibility. This will be key in ensuring the activity is not having a negative impact on the target groups.

Collaboration

- 81. There are benefits to working collaboratively across all aspects of access, success and progression. Collaborative approaches can ensure that expertise is pooled to enhance the quality of provision, activity can be strategically aligned to reduce duplication, overlap and burden, effective practice can be shared, and shared goals ensure that all partners are working towards the same ends.³⁶ Collaboration is likely to include relationships with other higher education providers, with employers, third sector bodies, community groups, schools or colleges.
- 82. In relation to collaboration and alignment with other work and funding sources, a plan may describe:
 - a credible strategic approach to collaborative working, with a clear purpose and rationale, including any timebound commitments
 - the collaborative activities that are in place or are in development, including the organisations and networks involved, what the collaborative partnerships are seeking to achieve and the shared goals that have been agreed
 - a provider's work with schools, colleges, other educational organisations, health providers and community groups
 - clear information on a provider's engagement with OfS-funded programmes, such as Uni Connect, and projects to improve outcomes for local graduates
 - how a provider might wish to collaborate with its student body, including student unions and guilds, to design and deliver activity related to achieving the objectives of its plan.
- 83. Collaborative targets can be an effective way of securing commitment from partners in a collaborative arrangement, and help you demonstrate the progress being made towards shared goals. Further advice can be found in the <u>collaborative targets section</u>.

Collaborating for access

- 84. Targeting the right learners, with the appropriate activity, in the right areas and at the right time is crucial for activity to have an impact on equality of opportunity.
- 85. It can be highly effective for a provider to collaborate for particular activities or intervention strategies with third sector organisations and community groups that have the relevant expertise. A provider is expected to make clear in its plan what role it plays in these collaborations.

³⁶ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/benefits-of-and-barriers-to-collaborative-access-activity/</u>.

- 86. Examples of effective collaboration are often at the strategic level. Partnerships based on agreed long-term objectives are likely to be sustainable and resilient even when key staff move on or activity programmes end.
- 87. A research report on the benefits and barriers to collaborative access activity suggests a number of considerations that may be helpful for any provider seeking to work collaboratively in relation to access activities:³⁷
 - approach collaborative access activity impartially and ensure it does not focus on student recruitment
 - be transparent when working with other providers to minimise competition
 - utilise existing collaborative networks to draw on expertise, systems and processes
 - engage with senior leaders, industry partners, school leaders, school governance, and community groups early on to ensure that expertise is utilised and that access activities are fit for purpose in the local context
 - if applicable, ensure activities are relevant to the school curriculum, needs and priorities
 - aim to provide a sustained multi-intervention offer rather than one-off interventions
 - work with partners to provide relevant training to the outreach delivery team, if needed (e.g. if students are delivering activities, they need relevant training to ensure it is effective)
 - make evaluation a key component of the collaborative approach.

Uni Connect

- 88. The OfS-funded programme, Uni Connect, involves 29 partnerships in England helping to direct and identify what is being delivered to who and when in regards to higher education access activity.³⁸ Uni Connect has worked with over 1 million learners in England and over half of all schools and colleges in England were involved with a Uni Connect partnership in 2020-21. The OfS has also developed the national outreach coverage map to help providers with geographical targeting, identifying cold spots and areas of oversupply.³⁹
- 89. A provider is welcome to make use of its local Uni Connect partnership to build effective collaboration into its plans for improving equality of opportunity for access. The Uni Connect programme has an existing collaborative network and is well placed to facilitate collaborative activity to address risks to equality of opportunity in access across England. If a provider operates within a Uni Connect partnership, the activity planned and delivered through the

³⁷ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/benefits-of-and-barriers-to-collaborative-access-activity/</u>.

³⁸ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/uni-connect/</u>.

³⁹ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/national-outreach-coverage-project-targeting-tool/</u>.

partnership can form part of its plan. Uni Connect work is likely to complement and run alongside planned activity or interventions that relate to a provider's specific context.

90. As an OfS-funded project, Uni Connect has a specific remit and a specified approach detailed in terms and conditions of funding. When setting its own objectives for its plan it is likely that a provider's Uni Connect activity will be applicable and relevant to parts of its plan.

Collaborate with employers

- 91. A provider's collaborative activity may include working with employers (including those involved in local skills improvement plans, local enterprise partnerships (LEPs), and other education providers to identify skills gaps and develop flexible and responsive provision for those already in the workplace who may be looking to upskill or reskill. For example, delivering apprenticeships can provide opportunities for collaboration and the development of multiple strategic relationships beyond schools. There is some evidence showing that social mobility was a significant motivation for providers in diversifying their provision into apprenticeships with participants involved in two clear streams of activities:⁴⁰
 - awareness raising in schools and colleges that these apprenticeships offer another pathway for students to achieve a higher education qualification, without debt, that leads to excellent outcomes
 - establishing partnerships with employers looking to upskill and reskill their existing workforce, thereby raising adult attainment levels.
- 92. Some projects related to this programme worked with local Uni Connect teams to set up and promote degree apprenticeships. Another project saw providers collaborating to deliver Level 2 English and maths provision so that prior qualifications were not a barrier to existing employees looking to upskill.
- 93. More information on degree apprenticeships and flexible pathways can be found in the <u>delivering diverse pathways and flexible provision section</u>.

Collaborating for success and progression

- 94. Our Addressing Barriers to Student Success (ABSS) programme demonstrates how collaborative approaches between providers can help to address issues at the success stage of the student lifecycle.⁴¹ For example, one collaborative project between four providers focused on tackling differential achievement by developing practical activities and resources that supports students at risk of leaving or not achieving their potential.⁴²
- 95. A provider may find it useful to collaborate with specialist organisations, to support delivery of effective mental health support to further support students to succeed. A provider may also find it useful to engage with local authorities to support its provision for students who have

⁴⁰ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/degree-apprenticeships-a-viable-alternative/</u>.

⁴¹ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/addressing-barriers-to-student-success-programme/</u>.

⁴² See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/addressing-barriers-to-student-success-programme/</u>.

experienced care. We strongly encourage collaboration with organisations such as the NHS and other local agencies and authorities in addressing issues of safeguarding and welfare of students. More information on mental health can be found in the <u>supporting students who have</u> <u>declared a mental health condition</u> section.

- 96. Collaborating with employers should span the full student lifecycle from encouraging prospective students (who may already be in work) to consider higher education; supporting students to succeed in their studies; to helping them to achieve the best possible outcomes when they enter the jobs market or embark upon further study. Working with employers throughout the lifecycle helps to improve a student's employability with the aim of optimising their employment outcomes. Employer links can open up opportunities for students to gain invaluable work experience. They can also include engaging employers to run taster days, mentoring programmes, employer-led competitions, as well as supporting student enterprise and entrepreneurial activity, and cohabiting innovation spaces and hubs. Further information on effective practice in employability and work experience is included in our effective practice resources.⁴³
- 97. Engagement with employers can also lead to the development of diverse pathways into and through higher education, for example technical and vocational education that may be delivered as on and off the job learning.
- 98. Our local graduate challenge competition involves 16 collaborate projects and demonstrates how providers, employers, councils, LEPs and other organisations can work together to support the transition to highly skilled employment and improve outcomes for graduates who seek employment in their home region.⁴⁴ A provider may draw on the case studies from this programme when designing intervention strategies and seek to evaluate these in its own context.
- 99. A provider may want to collaborate with professional, statutory and regulatory bodies (PSRBs) in its work. A review has highlighted the importance of providers working closely with PSRBs on activities from access to progression, to promote and highlight pathways into the professions and particular industries.⁴⁵

Collaborating for evaluation

- 100. As a provider further develops its evaluation strategies we expect it to consider how it intends recording, publishing and sharing its evaluation activity and findings, working with TASO and others, to maximise understanding of what works (and what does not) across the sector.
- 101. We encourage providers to consider sharing evaluation expertise or resources with one another to maximise their expertise and capacity. A provider may also wish to consider whether collaboration with other higher education providers could strengthen its evaluation

⁴³ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/effective-practice/</u>.

⁴⁴ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/student-choice-and-flexible-learning/improving-outcomes-for-local-graduates/</u>.

⁴⁵ See <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/graduate-employment-and-accreditation-in-stem-independent-reviews</u>.

strategies by, for example, increasing sample sizes or creating comparator groups to better understand the potential impact of particular interventions.

Further general advice on creating intervention strategies

Support the successful participation of students, including continuation, completion and attainment

- 102. Where a provider has identified risks in the success stage of the lifecycle (continuation, completion and attainment), measures or activities may aim to support students both pastorally and academically, alongside improving students' sense of belonging. A provider may wish to co-design teaching and learning activities with students, as evidence has shown that students report an increased sense of belonging to their provider when engaged in such activities.⁴⁶
- 103. There are many sources of evidence related to student success that a provider can draw on in its activity design. Below are some examples of volumes of evidence related to supporting student success:
 - OfS's ABSS programme, including case studies⁴⁷
 - TASO's evidence toolkit and publications related to success⁴⁸
 - Advance HE's effective practice resources for retention and student success⁴⁹
 - findings from the OfS-commissioned evaluation of a programme for pedagogic innovation projects⁵⁰
 - Advance HE's literature review and searchable dataset on student success.⁵¹
- 104. We recognise that providers may still be considering their approach to blended learning as part of long-term learning and teaching strategies. Where this is being implemented, a credible approach is expected to consider the following:
 - students must be able to expect high quality teaching, and that blended learning is not used as a replacement for face-to-face education
 - inclusion for different student groups should be embedded from the outset⁵²

⁴⁶ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/final-evaluation-of-programme-for-innovation-projects/</u>.

⁴⁷ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/addressing-barriers-to-student-success-programme/</u>.

⁴⁸ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/</u>.

⁴⁹ Available at <u>https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/knowledge-hub/compendium-effective-practice-higher-education-retention-and-success</u>.

⁵⁰ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/final-evaluation-of-programme-for-innovation-projects/</u>.

⁵¹ This resource is available for Advance HE members. Available at <u>https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/knowledge-hub/access-retention-attainment-and-progression-review-literature-2016-2021</u>.

⁵² Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/gravity-assist-propelling-higher-education-towards-</u><u>a-brighter-future/</u>.

- how to embed the findings from the OfS's blended learning review, including work with students to understand their learning needs, particularly with disabled students.⁵³
- 105. Where a provider chooses or needs to deliver blended learning, it can refer to TASO's findings on teaching and learning during the coronavirus pandemic when designing the delivery of this.⁵⁴

Cost of living

- 106. Rises in the cost of living are affecting many in the UK, and higher education students and providers are reporting a significant impact. The OfS has published a brief which outlines the results of our information gathering on the effect on students of these price increases, including a commissioned poll and roundtable discussions, as well as summarising other recent research in this area.⁵⁵ It also explains some of the actions by universities and colleges to mitigate the effects of the situation on their students.
- 107. The EORR sets out 'Cost pressures' as one of the national risks to equality of opportunity.

Provide financial support

- 108. Financial support is typically given by higher education providers to help their students in the form of:
 - bursaries and scholarships
 - fee waivers (a discount on the tuition fee charged)
 - hardship funds
 - 'in-kind' support (a non-cash contribution of goods or a service).
- 109. As with all activities, the use of financial support within an intervention strategy is expected to include a clear rationale for how any financial support will support the target group(s). For example, we would likely query the evidence and rationale for providing financial support where the target group does not directly relate to financial need, or where students who are likely to need financial support are excluded, e.g. those from TUNDRA quintile 1.
- 110. A provider may be able to work with the SLC to receive information about its students, for example their household income and if they are care experienced or estranged, so that the provider can automatically award financial support to those students without the need for them to apply.⁵⁶ However, some students belonging to these groups may not wish to share this information with the SLC, for example their low income or their care experienced or estranged status, and a provider should continue to identify these students to ensure they

⁵³ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/blended-learning-and-ofs-regulation/</u>.

⁵⁴ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/libraryitem/resources-teaching-and-learning-in-the-time-of-covid/</u>.

⁵⁵ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/studying-during-rises-in-the-cost-of-living/</u>.

⁵⁶ Available at <u>https://www.practitioners.slc.co.uk/</u>.

get the support they are eligible for. Please see our effective practice resources on how to support these students beyond offering financial support.⁵⁷

- 111. Most providers invest large sums of funding towards offering financial support for students. Therefore, it is important that this is robustly evaluated and monitored to ensure effective use of funding. The OfS financial support toolkit is one way to evaluate bursaries and includes three tools to triangulate the findings: statistical tool, survey tool, and interview tool.⁵⁸ Providers should note that the statistical tool is only suitable where there are more than 300 financial support recipients per year, but the tool can be used collaboratively with other providers and years can be combined to increase the sample size.
- 112. Unlike financial support such as bursaries, we do not consider general hardship funding as an activity that supports the aims of the plan as it should be available to any students facing hardship. However, the details of your hardship fund should be included in the plan. Furthermore, we would encourage providers to ensure appropriate promotion of hardship funds to reach the full student body.

Support students into successful employment and further study

- 113. Where a provider has identified risks to equality of opportunity for student groups in relation to progression, it may wish to consider what further activities it can undertake to support these students to achieve good graduate outcomes, whether this be through appropriate employment or further study.
- 114. There are various approaches a provider may want to take and resources it can draw on when designing an approach or activities, such as:
 - tailoring careers advice and services to understand and meet the needs of target groups at an early stage, working with teams across a provider who can support targeting of students and of programmes
 - monitoring uptake of services to ensure student groups that are least likely to have equality of opportunity in relation to progression are engaging with opportunities and additional support offered
 - working with employers and PSRBs to provide work placements and experience
 - working with employers and PSRBs on curriculum design to ensure students are sufficiently prepared for entering and succeeding in relevant industries
 - providing mentoring programmes, including professional mentoring with industry specialists, including in partnership with other organisations

⁵⁷ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/effective-practice/</u>.

⁵⁸ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/financial-support-</u> <u>evaluation-toolkit/</u>.

- if, following graduation, a provider's students often live locally, it can focus on local employer engagement and boosting local vacancies, and draw on OfS case studies on local graduates⁵⁹
- using evidence from OfS reports and case studies on employability⁶⁰
- drawing on TASO's evidence and resources when designing and evaluating activities⁶¹
- drawing on the OfS's ABSS programme findings, including case studies
- promoting and providing transitional support for students progressing to further higher study.

Align with other work and OfS funding

- 115. Approaches to designing and delivering high quality courses and addressing risks to equality of opportunity should complement each other. A provider's plan can make reference to this, if this is appropriate based on its performance and risks, and part of a relevant intervention strategy rather than a separate one.
- 116. Similarly to above, where a provider is in receipt of OfS funding or other public funding, such as Uni Connect or the artificial intelligence and data science postgraduate conversation course programme, it may help the reader of the plan to describe the relationship between this funding and the access and participation plan activity. There is however no expectation that these will form separate intervention strategies for most providers.⁶²

Further advice on specific strategic priorities for access and participation

- 117. Regulatory notice 1 sets out that there are some impactful, sector-wide risks laid out in the Equality of Opportunity Risk Register (EORR) that we would expect to see reflected appropriately in a provider's access and participation plan. In particular, we expect most providers to consider:
 - a. How it can make meaningful and effective contributions to supporting schools to raise pre-16 attainment for students who do not have equal opportunity to develop the knowledge and skills required for higher education.
 - b. How it can expand and promote diverse and flexible pathways and provision.
 - c. How it can improve the mental health of its students.

⁵⁹ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/student-choice-and-flexible-learning/improving-outcomes-for-local-graduates/</u>.

⁶⁰ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/student-choice-and-flexible-learning/graduate-</u><u>employability-case-studies/</u>.

⁶¹ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/research/current-projects/what-works-for-employment-employability/</u>.

⁶² See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/finance-and-funding/funding-competitions/</u>.

Building partnerships to support raising prior attainment

- 118. Higher education providers have a direct interest in enhancing the levels of knowledge and skills acquired by potential higher education students during earlier stages of their formal education. This can be achieved through sustainable, collaborative and reciprocal partnerships with schools. It may also be achieved through collaborations with third-party organisations and community groups, that may already be able to demonstrate scalable models for effective interventions, or that may be better placed to reach certain groups of students such as those who frequently move schools.
- 119. Activity should aim to drive increased attainment at pre-16 for students in schools, but we will not prescribe which activities a provider should deliver or the particular groups targeted, as long as it relates to the context of the provider and the focus of its plan. However, we expect that activities will be targeted to relevant groups of students that are at risk of low attainment. Providers' activity in this area should not relate directly to marketing their own higher education provision to students engaged in these interventions, and providers should seek to ensure that their evaluation of these activities demonstrates positive impact on students attending higher education other than that offered by the provider, where relevant.
- 120. Activities may be directly or indirectly related to raising attainment, and a provider can use its associated theory of change to demonstrate how the activity is expected to contribute towards raising attainment, and in turn how such attainment raising can be expected to contribute to improved access to and successful participation in higher education.
- 121. For those with existing raising attainment activities, where appropriate plans could demonstrate how those relationships will be expanded and enhanced so that activity is delivered at greater scale and with greater impact.
- 122. While the longer term outcome for a provider's raising attainment activity may not be realised until after the duration of a plan, understanding whether its activities have met the short or medium term outcomes will be important. For example, if a provider is delivering an activity focused on improving metacognition with the aim to improve grades, it may want to evaluate this and share the findings.
- 123. While a provider can decide which approaches to take in building partnerships to support raising attainment, and we wish to see a greater sector effort to partner with schools and other relevant organisations to raise attainment of young people by all provider types, we are mindful that:
 - a. Providers with a greater emphasis on the recruitment of young students, and who choose to be highly selective in terms of academic grades or specialist skills of their entrants, might invest greater resource in strategic relationships with schools to improve prior attainment and support fair access to higher education at their provider as well as nationally.
 - b. Providers with large populations of mature students may have a greater emphasis on developing strategic relationships with further education colleges, other education providers, employers and employer groups, professional bodies, community groups, and parents.

- c. Specialist providers might focus their activity on raising attainment in the skills required for entry, such as in the arts or agriculture. Providers of this nature may need to collaborate to overcome issues of limited resource, addressing grass-roots issues and national coverage.
- d. Colleges with higher education provision might draw further on their collaborative networks, such as Uni Connect, and focus raising attainment efforts for their further education provision.
- e. Providers that are submitting an access and participation plan for the first time might set out how they intend to develop collaborative relationships with schools, colleges and other relevant partners in a way that is appropriate to the provider's subject mix and student cohort.
- 124. Evidence shows that GCSE attainment is a strong indicator of whether a learner will attend higher education and providers should be focusing on activities to support attainment for learners younger than 16.⁶³ This can include work with learners from early years through to key stage 4 and with learners with different levels of attainment.
- 125. There are several credible sources of advice and evidence to support the development of intervention strategies focused on raising prior attainment (this list is not exhaustive):
 - the OfS's effective practice advice and insight brief, including information on setting up new partnerships with schools,⁶⁴ and examples and case studies of providers delivering raising attainment activities⁶⁵
 - TASO's typology of raising attainment activities, including the evidence ratings for each type of activity⁶⁶
 - TASO's theories of change for common raising attainment activities, and its MOAT tool for linking activities and outcomes⁶⁷
 - TASO's review of intermediate outcomes for access and success⁶⁸

⁶³ Available at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/higher-education-participation-socio-economic-ethnic-and-gender-differences</u>.

⁶⁴ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/effective-practice/strategic-relationships-with-schools/</u>.

⁶⁵ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/schools-attainment-and-the-role-of-higher-education/</u>.

⁶⁶ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/news-item/taso-launches-report-on-attainment-raising/</u>.

⁶⁷ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/evaluation-guidance-resources/toc/theories-of-change-attainment-raising/</u> and <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/evaluation-guidance-resources/mapping-outcomes-and-activities-tool-moat-resources/</u>.

⁶⁸ See <u>https://taso.org.uk/libraryitem/report-rapid-evidence-review-intermediate-outcomes-for-higher-</u> education-access-and-success/.

- the Education Endowment Foundation (EEF) evidence toolkit and resources focused on improving teaching and learning in schools, particularly for disadvantaged pupils⁶⁹
- Causeway Education's raising attainment toolkit⁷⁰
- the OfS's evaluation tools designed to help providers when evaluating activity with pupils, such as a tool for evaluating outreach with under-16s and the impact of outreach resource.⁷¹

Delivering diverse pathways and flexible provision

- 126. The EORR sets out 'Limited choice of course type and delivery mode' as a national risk. The OfS strategy 2022-25 seeks to ensure that prospective students can choose from a diverse range of courses and providers at any stage of their life, with a wide range of flexible and innovative opportunities.⁷²
- 127. While many young people will access higher education to study traditional three-year, fulltime degree programmes, this model of higher education will not always meet the needs of all prospective students or employers. As part of a strategic approach, a provider can offer students a diverse range of courses by considering either developing new or expanding existing pathways. Providers can support the expansion of diverse pathways to improve access to their provider and to meet employer needs. This includes offering higher and degree apprenticeships, Level 4 and 5 courses, including Higher Technical Qualifications (HTQs), and offering modular, part-time and flexible provision.
- 128. A provider can set ambitious plans to grow the number of students pursuing flexible and diverse provision, including Level 4 and 5 courses and degree apprenticeships, and evaluate how this is impacting on equality of opportunity for underrepresented groups. As part of this approach, a provider may choose to include the development and delivery of diverse and flexible provision as an activity within an intervention strategy, where this is appropriate.
- 129. While flexible and diverse provision can offer significant benefits to students from underrepresented groups, we recognise that the development of this type of provision is not something that should be directed predominantly at these groups, nor is it currently appropriate for all types of providers within their overall mission and context. For example, some providers might feel that this type of provision is currently unsuitable for development due to their subject mix and student cohort. Rather, providers that can offer flexible provision could consider how its development could contribute to their access and participation aims while continuing to offer pathways for students from all backgrounds and at any stage in their lifetime.

⁶⁹ See <u>https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit</u>.

⁷⁰ This toolkit was designed for Uni Connect partnerships but will be of benefit for providers not involved in Uni Connect. Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/attainment-raising-a-toolkit/</u>.

⁷¹ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/evaluation-of-outreach-interventions-for-under-16s/</u>.

⁷² See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/about/how-we-are-run/our-strategy/</u>.

- 130. In our recent exercise which invited providers to make variations to their plans for 2023-24, we found that over half of providers with existing access and participation plans were already delivering, expanding or developing diverse provision.⁷³ This includes apprenticeships (at all levels), and approved HTQs such as Foundation Degrees, Higher National Diplomas, and Higher National Certificates. However, fewer providers demonstrated how they had considered how to improve flexibility of provision, such as offering opportunities for flexible study, modular courses, microcredentials, or evening or part-time lessons. There also appeared to be limited consideration of how existing or planned expansion of such provision could promote equality of opportunity for particular groups. As such, where a provider intends to offer or extend diverse and flexible forms of provision it should clearly state how this supports the aims and objectives of its plan.
- 131. A provider may find it helpful to monitor participation across its flexible and diverse provision to better understand and improve equality of opportunity. Although research suggests that there has been a rise in the number and share of apprenticeships at higher and degree level since 2017, only five per cent of those starting a degree apprenticeship in 2020-21 were from lower income areas, compared with 6.7 per cent of those going to university. In addition, young apprentices from deprived areas made up just six per cent of degree-level apprentices in 2018-19.74
- 132. There are several sources of advice and effective practice that a provider can draw on when designing intervention strategies related to diverse provision:
 - the OfS's advice on how to design and deliver degree apprenticeships as well as examples of effective practice⁷⁵
 - the Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education (IfATE) guidance on apprenticeships and technical qualifications, and information on quality, occupational standards and the qualifications themselves⁷⁶
 - DfE's guidance and checklist for both new and existing training providers delivering apprenticeships at any level, focusing on the essential steps required to prepare and deliver high quality apprenticeships⁷⁷
 - DfE's programme 'Apprenticeship Support and Knowledge for Schools and Colleges' (ASK) which offers advice and to raise awareness of apprenticeships, traineeships and T Levels among young people⁷⁸

⁷³ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/outcomes-report-variations-to-access-and-participation-plans-for-2023-24/</u>.

⁷⁴ Available at <u>https://www.suttontrust.com/our-research/the-recent-evolution-of-apprenticeships/</u>.

⁷⁵ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/student-choice-and-flexible-learning/degree-apprenticeships/</u>.

⁷⁶ Available at <u>https://www.instituteforapprenticeships.org/</u>.

⁷⁷ Available at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/provider-guide-to-delivering-high-quality-apprenticeships</u>.

⁷⁸ Available at <u>https://www.careersandenterprise.co.uk/the-ask-programme/</u>.

- DfE's guidance, provider delivery toolkit, and case studies for designing and delivering HTQs⁷⁹
- IfATE's criteria, guidance, support and resources for the approval of HTQs.⁸⁰
- 133. Part-time and flexible provision provides a pathway into higher education for students who may need to fit study around work, family and personal commitments. This enables individuals to reskill or upskill throughout their lifetime. Examples of delivering effective parttime and flexible provision include: ⁸¹
 - evening classes
 - intensive weekend modules
 - summer schools in partnership with further education colleges
 - credit accruing work placements
 - block learning
 - online and distance learning
 - delivering provision in the community.
- 134. In September 2020, the Government announced the introduction of a Lifelong Loan Entitlement (LLE) from 2025.⁸² The LLE is a loan entitlement equivalent to four years of post-18 education funding at Levels 4-6 for students to use up to the age of 60 and is designed to enable access to student finance for eligible individuals to study full and modular courses across universities and colleges.⁸³
- 135. In March 2023, the Government published its response to a consultation on the implementation of the LLE. In that response, the Government sets out how it intends to introduce the LLE from the start of academic year 2025-26. There will be a phased approach with new modular funding being provided only for certain Level 4 and 5 courses on launch. These will include all HTQs and some technical qualifications at Levels 4 and 5. New modular funding will be expanded to broader provision at Levels 4 to 6 in 2027-28 dependent on the level of confidence in positive outcomes for learners.
- 136. The OfS, in collaboration with the DfE, funded 22 providers to develop short course provision as part of the higher education short course trial.⁸⁴ Where applicable, a provider can explain

⁷⁹ Available at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/higher-technical-qualification-overview</u>.

⁸⁰ Available at <u>https://www.instituteforapprenticeships.org/qualifications/higher-technical-qualifications/</u>.

⁸¹ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/effective-practice/mature-students/</u>.

⁸² See <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/pms-skills-speech-29-september-2020</u>.

⁸³ Available at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/lifelong-loan-entitlement.</u>

⁸⁴ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/student-choice-and-flexible-learning/higher-education-short-course-trial/</u>.

in the access and participation plan how it intends to deliver modular and part-time provision and, in particular, how this will benefit its students.

Supporting students who have declared a mental health condition to their provider or are experiencing poor mental health

- 137. While periods of poor mental health can impact any individual, as set out in the EORR there are likely to be students that are studying at a provider who have declared a mental health condition as a disability, or for whom poor mental health is impacting their academic experience. These students may need specific support from their higher education provider and may face certain barriers to accessing support.
- 138. In their access and participation plans, providers should consider the risk to equality of opportunity for their students in relation to mental health, particularly where students have declared a mental health condition to their provider or where there is evidence that poor mental health may be impacting outcomes for particular groups of students.
- 139. Data shows that more students are declaring mental health conditions to their higher education provider, and the proportion of all undergraduate full-time entrants reporting having mental health condition has increased from 0.7 percent in 2010-11 to 4.5 per cent in 2021-22.⁸⁵ There are differences in continuation, completion, and progression rates between those students who report having a mental health condition to their university or college and those who do not. Our analysis also shows that some student groups are more likely to report a mental health condition, and that having such a condition affects the outcomes of specific student groups differently. For example, in analysis published by the OfS in 2023, the completion rate for black students entering higher education in 2017-18 is 10.3 percentage points lower if they reported having a mental health condition. This is the largest such disparity for any ethnic group.⁸⁶
- 140. Therefore, a credible intervention strategy will focus on:
 - students who have declared a mental health condition to their provider and groups with characteristics identified as increasing the risk of poor mental health
 - which groups of students may be more, or less, likely to report a mental health condition to their higher education provider and how declaration at any stage of their course is being encouraged
 - how poor mental health or having a mental health condition may affect outcomes for specific groups of students differently and considering the risk to equality of opportunity within a provider's own context
 - the most suitable support and pathways for particular groups of students, how access can be supported and any barriers addressed through targeted prevention and intervention strategies

⁸⁵ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/meeting-the-mental-health-needs-of-students/</u>.

⁸⁶ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/meeting-the-mental-health-needs-of-students/</u>.

- how targeted support builds on general wellbeing and mental health provision and sector activity, for example, the University Mental Health Charter.⁸⁷
- 141. In addition to this, providers are encouraged to consider the following credible resources and approaches to inform the design of intervention strategies and activities:
 - a. Work with students to declare mental health conditions, at any stage of their student journey. UCAS has published some reports giving advice on the benefits of disclosure and also the barriers.⁸⁸
 - b. Seek to understand the student population, including exploring barriers and challenges faced by groups of students who may be more at risk of poor mental health.⁸⁹
 - c. Take a whole provider approach to considering how students' mental health can be supported.
 - d. Co-create activities and strategies with students.90
 - e. Use evidence of effective activities, including TASO's OfS-funded Student Mental Health Evidence Toolkit ⁹¹ and What Works Wellbeing evidence reviews and practice examples, evaluating the impact of the adopted approaches.⁹²
 - f. Draw on appropriate evidence and approaches OfS reports and resources from OfSfunded programmes.⁹³
 - g. Collaborate with other providers and organisations, in particular with NHS organisations and local authorities. The OfS has published a suite of resources that support providers to develop partnership working. This includes a commissioned learning and evaluation programme that brings together higher education providers, NHS providers and Integrated Care Systems to consider solutions and share effective practices to joint working.⁹⁴

⁸⁷ Available at <u>https://universitymentalhealthcharter.org.uk/</u>.

⁸⁸ See <u>https://www.ucas.com/data-and-analysis/undergraduate-statistics-and-reports/ucas-reports#starting-the-conversation-ucas-report-on-student-mental-health%E2%80%93-june-2021.</u>

⁸⁹ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/student-protection-and-support/student-mental-health/funding-for-student-mental-health/mental-health-funding-competition-using-innovation-and-intersectional-approaches/.</u>

⁹⁰ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/evaluation-of-the-mental-health-funding-competition-co-creation/</u>.

⁹¹ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/student-mental-health-hub/</u>.

⁹² Available at <u>https://whatworkswellbeing.org/category/education-and-learning/</u>.

⁹³ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/student-protection-and-support/student-mental-health/</u>.

⁹⁴ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/student-protection-and-support/joint-working-between-providers-and-the-nhs-to-support-student-mental-health/</u>.

- h. Evaluate the provider's own work, share the findings, and learn from others to make sure support is delivered in the most effective ways and to ensure that policies and procedures are robust, evidence informed and appropriate to its own context.
- 142. Several resources are available to help providers develop and deliver of mental health support for all students. Providers could embed these in their student and pastoral support and their work in the area of equality, diversity and inclusion. They include:
 - a. Using the Stepchange: mentally healthy universities strategic framework and the University Mental Health Charter.⁹⁵
 - b. Embedding inclusive practice, such as the Education for Mental Health Toolkit which provides evidence-informed information on the ways in which curriculum can support wellbeing and learning.⁹⁶

Evaluate intervention strategies

- 143. We expect providers to refer to the <u>evaluation design section</u> for information when choosing methods for evaluation. It is important to choose methods that are appropriate for the activity being delivered. When identifying which activities should be evaluated as part of intervention strategies, a provider may want to consider which of these activities have a weaker evidence base of impact and focus on generating evidence for these.
- 144. We expect a provider to consider whether it is able to evaluate the outcomes of individual activities within an intervention strategy and the outcomes of the whole intervention strategy.
- 145. The expected cost of the evaluation for each intervention strategy should be included in the total cost of the intervention strategy investment calculation.

Example

Collaborating for access: Providing impartial information to promote student choice (collaborating for access – Uni Connect)⁹⁷

Collaborative subject taster days are part of Go Higher West Yorkshire's (GWHY's) access offer and are designed to provide students with impartial careers information across a range of industry sectors and highlight the different study pathway options to careers in the sector. Different providers, along with local employers and other industry partners, collaborate to provide subject specialism introductions and an insight into the different education options in the area.

⁹⁵ Available at <u>https://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/what-we-do/policy-and-research/publications/stepchange-mentally-healthy-</u>

universities#:~:text=Stepchange%3A%20mentally%20healthy%20universities%20is,all%20students%20and %20all%20staff.

⁹⁶ Available at <u>https://www.advance-he.ac.uk/teaching-and-learning/curricula-development/education-mental-</u>health-toolkit.

⁹⁷ See www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/benefits-of-and-barriers-to-collaborative-access-activity/.

GHWY is a partnership of 13 providers, including further education colleges, small and specialist providers, and universities. GHWY's Uni Connect partnership coordinates regional access activities with a range of providers and local employers for students in years 9-13.

Collaborative subject taster days are organised to showcase the options available in the area. For example, local higher education providers have come together with West Yorkshire Police to offer a taster day about policing and criminology.

Collaborations involving specialist providers are also part of GHWY's offer, designed to introduce students to the variety of courses and career pathways available in the locally-important creative sector.

A suite of events offered to students – both in-person and online – allow students to experience what the breadth of higher education life will involve while focusing on specific subjects. Additional online sessions provide information about other aspects of higher education, such as student finance, that students can watch at a time convenient to them.

Collaborative partnerships enable partners to pool their resources to provide an impartial access offer for students. This is particularly beneficial for smaller, specialist providers and further education colleges with locally responsive higher education offers, that have less resource to host such events. Multi-partner subject taster days help to break down barriers to higher education for young people by broadening their horizons and showing them the range of careers on offer. A focus on specific industry sectors and subject areas also enables the taster days to contribute to addressing regional skills shortages.

Intervention strategy example

146. An example of an intervention strategy is set out below. This example is for an unspecified number of students, and as such the financial inputs for similar interventions are likely to vary depending on the number of students and the specific context of the provider.

Intervention strategy 4

Objectives and targets: To ensure there is no difference in continuation rates between care experienced students and non-care experienced students by 2027-28 (Target – PTS_3). Secondary objective(s): PTP_1 (IS4); PTA_1 (IS1); PTP_2(IS5)

Risks to equality of opportunity: **Information and guidance; insufficient academic support; insufficient personal support; mental health; cost pressures.**

Activity	Inputs	Outcomes	Cross intervention?
Accommodation promise: 365-day accommodation guarantee for care experienced students, plus accommodation bursary to cover vacation residence. Guarantee of accommodation if student suspends status for one year. Accommodation	Accommodation budget per eligible student. Administrative costs and deposit loss fund.	Decreased financial concerns for students; increased sense of security; increased sense of belonging	IS1, IS4

Activity	Inputs	Outcomes	Cross intervention?
guarantor scheme for Y2,3 and graduating students. New activity.			
£250 Start-up bursary for all care experienced students (paid after offer acceptance) to cover travel to university costs and purchase of home essentials (e.g. duvet, suitcase, cutlery), and accommodation welcome pack. Existing.	Bursary for students; 30 hours staff administrative costs per year	Increased financial security; decreased financial concerns for students	IS1, IS4
Named and trained staff contact for all care experienced students, whose role is to support students to access personalised academic and pastoral support services. Existing.	Staff training and 1FTE, plus administrative costs	Increase in % of students finding support helpful for personal or academic reasons; increase in engagement with services	IS1, IS4
Training for teaching, admissions and support staff on delivering educational services for care experienced students. New activity.	Staff training and 0.3FTE, plus administrative costs	Improved knowledge of the needs of care experienced students; increased confidence in supporting care experienced students in HE.	IS4
Access to fast-track mental health support for care experienced students, with counsellors trained in additional CPD in meeting the needs of care experienced students. New activity.	Staff training and 1x additional counselling staff for four year duration.	Increase in student wellbeing (using Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing scale); decrease in the number of mitigating circumstances forms submitted	IS4
Bespoke mentoring programme through careers service. New activity.	Mentor training and expenses, plus administrative cost	Increase in continuation Increase in completion	IS5
For activity addressing information and guidance risk, see Intervention strategy 2	-	-	-
Total cost of activities and evaluation for intervention strategy 4	£199,500		

Summary of evidence base and rationale: We have conducted a literature review, alongside targeted, anonymous surveys and a focus group with our current care experienced students. See Annex B, page 34 for further information.

Evaluation: We intend to evaluate each activity within this intervention strategy to generate OfS Type 2 standards to establish whether or not they lead to the intended outcomes. We will also examine the extent to which each activity contributes towards meeting the overall objective. We will start the strategy in the 2025-26 academic year, and we intend to disseminate interim findings every year. We will share our findings at conferences and through networks such as NERUPI, and after 4 years we intend to publish our findings on our website. More detailed information on how we will be evaluating each activity can be found below in Table 1.

[You can also include the following table here which sets out your evaluation plan for activities

Targets

Ambitious, clearly defined, outcomes-based targets enable a provider to demonstrate its level of ambition in addressing risks to equality of opportunity, and support others to understand progress and hold it to account.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Targets	38e
	72-76

Further advice

- 147. A provider is expected to set ambitious, clearly-defined, outcomes-based targets that directly relate to its risks to equality of opportunity and associated objectives. A provider is expected to set out its targets in the fees, investments and targets (FIT) document that it submits to the OfS alongside its plan.
- 148. We expect the objectives, intervention strategies and targets identified to secure continuous improvement in outcomes for students by addressing the risks a provider has identified in its assessment of performance.
- 149. We expect a provider's targets to be stretching and would not expect to approve access and participation plans that are insufficiently ambitious; however targets should remain credible. We encourage providers to be ambitious with their targets and to put in place reasonable steps to achieve these, rather than proposing low targets in the first instance.
- 150. If we are not clear on the progress a provider is making in relation to targets we would normally seek further information from the provider to understand the reasonable steps it has taken to deliver the plan.
- 151. A provider may set targets in relation to its objectives and intervention strategies, and these should normally be framed at a level similar to objectives.
- 152. So that targets are measurable and can be easily monitored by the OfS and by a provider's stakeholders, targets should, where possible, be based on the access and participation data dashboard or other publicly available data sources. Where this is not possible, a provider should include in its plan the data source it has used to set targets in the FIT document.
- 153. A provider can include milestones for targets that are informed by forecasts and estimates. We would normally encourage providers to use proportions or percentage point differences as a unit to measure yearly milestones rather than headcount. This is likely to better reflect

any anticipated progress in relation to other student groups than the use of stand alone absolute numbers.

- 154. Where data is not available and a numerical figure is used as a proxy target, a credible plan may reference evidence to demonstrate the effectiveness of activities in meeting the objective. This may be necessary to set measurable milestones for activities relating to school collaboration and raising attainment, given that related data is not held on the access and participation data dashboard.
- 155. Providers should include yearly milestones for the targets set out in its plan. This will support the OfS and other interested stakeholders to understand the progress a provider is making towards achieving each objective. Factors we will take into consideration when assessing the credibility of targets may include:
 - a. The nature of a provider's plan and whether it is likely to generate improvement for the risks to equality of opportunity identified.
 - b. A provider's size and turnover, mission, level of higher fee income from qualifying students, and the plan considered as a whole.
 - c. A provider's track record of taking all reasonable steps to comply with the provisions of its plan, and whether the provider was previously able to make expected progress against targets.
 - d. Whether or not levels of forecasted investment demonstrate that it will invest sufficient additional resource to deliver the provisions of its plan.

Putting it into practice

Collaborative targets

- 156. Collaborative targets can be an effective way of securing commitment from partners in a collaborative arrangement, and help a provider to demonstrate the progress being made towards shared goals.
- 157. Providers are encouraged to include collaborative activity and targets in their plan, particularly where this maximises impact, such as by increasing the population size of target groups across a number of providers.
- 158. We encourage collaborative targets being set in partnership with other higher education providers to address national, regional and local priorities. For example, this may be a target across particular types of providers (such as high tariff or specialist providers), or a regional or geographical target.
- 159. We encourage providers to consider how their collaborative targets could align with and support activity generated by other agencies, third sector entities, and including, where appropriate, Uni Connect partnership activity in their locality.
- 160. Where targets are set in collaboration with other providers that are also submitting an access and participation plan, we encourage providers to feature those targets in both plans, and use consistent wording so they can be identified.

- 161. We will take collaborative efforts that contribute to national priorities into account when monitoring a provider's progress in achieving the collaborative targets it has set itself as necessary.
- 162. Where we establish that a provider is not making expected progress in relation to any collaborative targets, we may contact the provider to understand both the reasons for this, which may be beyond the direct control of the provider, and the steps it is taking to get back on track where this is appropriate.

Whole provider approach

The successful participation and attainment of students depends on a wide range of factors and experiences, so we consider it is important that a provider takes a holistic approach to supporting its students' success.

It is important that a provider has due regard to the Equality Act 2010 when designing its plan.⁹⁸ As many of the groups often targeted in plans have multiple protected characteristics, access and participation plans should align with a provider's wider equality, diversity and inclusion work.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Whole provider approach	38f
	77-79

Further advice

- 163. How a provider is embedding a whole provider approach and having due regard to equality, diversity and inclusion should be clear throughout its plan, although a provider should also include a brief section to summarise how it has sought to do this. Therefore, a credible approach to embedding this may consider:
 - how work to address risks to equality of opportunity for students is coherently embedded across the whole provider and all stages of the lifecycle
 - how a provider has paid due regard to the Equality Act 2010 when creating its plan
 - how a provider has aligned equality, diversity and inclusion strategies with the intervention strategies set out in its plan
 - the mechanisms in place to ensure target groups are not adversely impacted by any inclusive approaches
 - how a provider's evaluation activity might draw on skills, capabilities and expertise from across its organisation.

⁹⁸ See <u>https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/contents</u>.

Putting it into practice

Take a whole provider approach

- 164. A whole provider approach can be considered even if a plan is highly targeted: intervention strategies are likely to include activities that are embedded across the provider. A provider may wish to use the published research and implementation and evaluation tools for support in embedding a whole provider approach.⁹⁹ Embedding a whole provider approach can demonstrate the commitment a provider is taking to addressing the risks to equality of opportunity in its own context.
- 165. The key features of the whole provider approach in the context of access and participation include the following:
 - a. Staff from across the provider are actively involved and engaged, with access and participation valued as integral to their roles.
 - b. Students representing the breadth of the student population, particularly those from hard-to-reach groups, are engaged in formulating, supporting and evaluating access and participation across the provider.
 - c. A full student lifecycle approach is adopted, where support is extended from pre-entry, to on-course success and through to post-graduation progression. In many cases this starts with supporting potential learners from primary age upwards, and includes pre-entry outreach, including with those looking to upskill or reskill, admissions, transition, learning and teaching, student engagement and support and access to postgraduate study and/or employment.
 - d. A clear organisational-wide commitment, including leadership from senior management and governing bodies, to access and participation. This commitment is backed up with clearly defined target groups and expected outcomes that are shaped by evidence and aligned with other strategies, for example those relating to equality, diversity and inclusion; learning and teaching; and business and community engagement.
 - e. Consideration of how the plan aligns with any learning, teaching and assessment approaches, in order to develop more inclusive curricula and practice to ensure that students from all backgrounds are engaged and supported to succeed.

Have regard to equality, diversity and inclusion

- 166. Under the Equality Act 2010, all publicly funded education providers must comply with the general duties under the public sector equality duty. This means that a provider must have due regard to the need to:
 - a. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Equality Act 2010.

⁹⁹ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/whole-provider-approach/</u>.

- b. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- c. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- 167. This will also include taking equality issues into account when designing policies (including internal policies) and services, and to review such issues regularly. A credible plan should demonstrate how a provider is meeting its relevant obligation with regards to the Equality Act 2010.
- 168. A credible plan would demonstrate how a provider's access and participation strategies align with its equality, diversity and inclusion strategies. This could include explaining how access and participation activities help to advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic under the Equality Act 2010 and those who do not, and explaining how the equality objectives relate to the access and participation plan objectives and intervention strategies.

Example

The University of Wrottesley is a large provider with 28,000 qualifying students. Its Head of Access and Participation sits within the Academic Administration Division, and inputs to admissions, on-course and progression work across the provider. This positioning of the role recognises that access and participation is needed in equal measure across the whole student lifecycle rather than just admissions, where the role previously sat.

For its 2025-26 access and participation plan, the provider has seven intervention strategies that address multiple risks to access, participation and progression. In developing its plan and the constituent activities, the provider has sought equal representation from all divisions and departments as well as from students. The provider also expects all departments and divisions to participate in the relevant activities. Some are led by a specific department (e.g. activities relating to pre-16 attainment are led by the admissions department; its on-course academic support is led by its Education Support department), whilst others are led jointly by academic divisions. All activities are overseen by the Head of Access and Participation and their team.

The provider also recognises that the activities that are required to meet intervention strategies may change every few years, but the conceptual basis for access and participation will not. Therefore, it has set out to review all curricula and internal practices that may impact access and participation.

Student consultation

Student consultation is an important requirement set out in the Higher Education (Access and Participations Plans) (England) Regulations 2018 (section 3, paragraph 1).

Student engagement can support a provider to better understand both the experiences of specific groups at different stages in the student lifecycle, and how it can address risks to equality of opportunity that these students may face.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Student consultation	38g
	80-83

Further advice

169. A credible plan on student consultation and engagement could include:

- a. Consulting and engaging with students from different backgrounds to ensure that views are representative of a provider's student population and are reflected in the plan.
- b. Collaborating with student representatives, which can include students' unions, guilds or associations, to ensure there are meaningful mechanisms for engaging with students about the plan.¹⁰⁰
- c. Providing a variety of opportunities and channels for student input and feedback, as well as clear processes for recognising and disseminating feedback about where student contributions have impacted on the content of the plan. Where student feedback has not been or cannot be implemented, a provider may communicate this to the OfS in its plan and to the students they engaged with.
- d. Co-designing activities with students, where appropriate.
- e. Including student representatives on decision-making panels and actively collaborating with them in the preparation of papers and meeting content.

¹⁰⁰ The term 'students' unions' is used throughout for brevity to refer to students' unions, associations, guilds, and student representatives at providers without a formal student representative structure.

- f. Ensuring student wellbeing, particularly related to mental health, are at the forefront of discussions with students, and that any approaches to these issues are reflective of the whole provider strategic approach.
- g. Regular engagement with students at different stages of the lifecycle, including through delivery, monitoring and evaluation, so that the plan remains relevant.
- 170. For a new provider with no current students, or for a provider with no or few current students in groups that are included as a focus for its plan, a credible approach to student consultation and engagement regarding the plan could include:
 - setting out a clear plan to engage with its first cohort at the earliest reasonable time, and including a timebound written commitment to request a variation to the plan if the plan needs to change as a result of this consultation with students
 - undertaking market research or engaging with prospective students about the content of the plan
 - giving a clear description of how the provider will ensure ongoing engagement with students regarding the plan, including through the delivery, monitoring and evaluation stages, and building in early engagement points about the plan when the first cohort of students starts.

Putting it into practice

Involve students

- 171. Students make a significant personal and financial investment in their studies and are the subject of access and participation plans. Furthermore, the voices of underrepresented students can go unheard. Therefore, a provider is expected to involve students, and in particular, those students who are the focus of plans, as active partners and co-creators in developing, implementing and evaluating activities that are seeking to address the risks they may experience to equality of opportunity.
- 172. When implementing student engagement strategies, it is important to ensure that engagement in access and participation activities is meaningful and collaborative. Part of this is taking action to help students understand the context of access and participation so they are able to make informed contributions. This can be done by:
 - providing student representatives with appropriate training and support for their roles, so they are able to make informed and meaningful contributions. This could also include specific training, for example, on how to interpret data.
 - providing materials for meetings in a format that is accessible to students.
 - communicating throughout the implementation, monitoring and evaluation processes by providing feedback at each stage about what changes have been made as a result of student feedback.
- 173. It is also important to consider how to include students from a diverse range of backgrounds in the design, implementation and evaluation of a provider's plan. This can be challenging

due to issues such as 'self-selection' or 'highly engaged' students being more likely to participate in consultation activities. We know, for example, that in some providers mature students can experience isolation and disconnection from other students and that participating in ambassadorial roles can help to encourage a greater sense of belonging, in line with a whole provider strategic approach. A credible approach will put mechanisms in place to allow all students to express their views. Where the provider population is demonstrably diverse, a provider can actively seek a representative view from different sections of the student body. The plan can demonstrate how a provider is ensuring the student voice of any targeted groups in its strategy are being captured in the access and participation plan engagement processes.

- 174. The report 'Breaking down the barriers to student opportunities and youth social action', published by Universities UK and the NUS, examines reasons why students do not participate in volunteering opportunities and provides suggestions on how to encourage students to engage with these activities.¹⁰¹ The barriers to engaging students identified in this report can be applied more widely to increase engagement in access and participation activities.
- 175. A provider may wish to draw on findings from the ABSS programme which funded a project to support student ambassadors from certain student groups with the intention of improving students' sense of belonging engagement (amongst other outcomes).¹⁰²

Support students to develop a student submission

- 176. Students' unions and representatives are welcome to submit a single student submission to comment on their provider's plan and how they have been consulted with and involved in their provider's access and participation work. This should be submitted alongside the provider's plan, and a provider is expected to provide assistance and advice to the students' union and representatives on how to submit information through the access and participation plan process. The OfS has designed a template for students which is available as Annex G; further information is available in Annex F to support students in completing a student submission.¹⁰³
- 177. We are particularly interested in students' perspective on:
 - how effectively students (including students' unions or other representative bodies) have been engaged in the design of the access and participation plan
 - how confident students are (including students' unions or other representative bodies) that they will be appropriately involved in the delivery and evaluation of the access and participation plan

¹⁰¹ Available at <u>https://thelinkingnetwork.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Breaking-down-the-barriers-to-</u> <u>Student-Opportunities-and-Youth-Social-Action-2015.pdf</u> (link opens a PDF).

¹⁰² See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/addressing-barriers-to-student-success-programme/abss-project-university-of-manchester/</u>.

¹⁰³ Annex F and G are available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-advice-6-how-to-prepare-your-access-and-participation-plan-effective-practice-advice/</u>.

- their higher education provider's analysis and identification of the greatest risks to equality of opportunity
- the credibility of the intervention strategies outlined by their higher education provider to address the risks to equality of opportunity.
- 178. If provided, the OfS will use this information to understand whether the plan has met the student consultation expectations set out in Regulatory notice 1. A provider is expected to make it clear to its students, students' unions, associations and representative bodies that they have the opportunity to create a student submission. It should indicate in the plan the opportunities that it has provided for student engagement and involvement. The student submission should normally be written by students or their representative bodies and not staff who are employed by the provider.
- 179. We encourage student representatives to be critical friends to their provider in relation to access and participation. Further information about the student submission can be found in Annex F.¹⁰⁴

Examples

One small provider has mostly mature part-time students. The provider has consulted with its student governors and student representatives on its proposed plan and at the design stage of its new activities. The plan clearly states what action the provider has taken as a result of this consultation, for example the students felt that despite relatively good performance in progression outcomes for target groups, there could be additional careers support for some underrepresented groups who were the least likely to seek these services. This resulted in new more targeted activities to support progression for these student groups.

The provider describes how it tries to engage with student governors and representatives as well as a wider group of students in the delivery and monitoring of the plan, and involves students in its evaluation activity. The provider has also listed in its plan the different opportunities to seek feedback and opinions from students throughout the duration of the plan. This approach demonstrates how students have been consulted, the ongoing opportunities for feedback made available to them, the feedback provided by students, and how this has been acted upon.

One large provider has a diversity and inclusion student ambassador project that adopts a co-production model to bring staff and students together to take action to promote wellbeing and improve outcomes for black, Asian and ethnic minority students and those from low socioeconomic groups. Working in partnership with the provider's students' union, two further higher education providers and their students' union and guild, the approach aims to increase academic attainment, improve students' sense of belonging, engagement, wellbeing, interpersonal skills and the ability to confidently tackle discriminatory behaviour by

¹⁰⁴ Annex F is available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-advice-6-how-to-prepare-your-access-and-participation-plan-effective-practice-advice/</u>.

creating supportive spaces and networks, and empowering students to safely challenge racism and other forms of discrimination. Student ambassadors receive training and support to undertake activities that promote inclusive learning environments.

In the plan, the provider makes reference to this co-production model and describes the ways in which it engages with these students and the opportunities it provides for them. This approach demonstrates working in partnership with students and other providers to co-produce activities that aim to support students as well as empower them to take action on areas that are important for their wellbeing and academic attainment.

Key resources

OfS topic briefing – <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/effective-practice/student-engagement-and-consultation/</u>

OfS student guide to help tackle inequality – <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-</u> students/equal-opportunities/help-improve-equality-of-opportunity/

OfS Addressing Barriers to Student Success programme resources – <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/addressing-barriers-to-student-success-programme/</u>

Evaluation of the plan

Evaluation is important because it helps a provider to understand whether the intervention strategies and the activities and measures contained within them are effectively addressing the risks to equality of opportunity identified through its assessment of performance.

Robust evaluation is also crucial for contributing to the development of sector-wide knowledge of what works, for whom, and in what contexts, to address risks to equality of opportunity.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Monitoring and evaluation of the plan	38g 84-91
Monitoring progress of delivery of an access and participation plan	165-169

Further advice

- 180. A credible approach to developing an evaluation strategy, setting out how evaluation activity will be strengthened overall, and explaining the methodologies that will be used, may include:
 - embedding a consideration of evaluation into the design of all individual activities that contribute to an intervention strategy
 - undertaking a self-assessment of evaluation practice. The OfS has produced an evaluation self-assessment tool¹⁰⁵ which a provider may wish to use
 - setting out a clear and timebound written commitment on the progress that a provider will make in building evaluation capabilities and capacity where appropriate.
- 181. A provider is expected to set out how and when it intends to share its evaluation evidence, and the methodologies it expects to use in analyses further to that detailed in individual intervention strategy evaluation sections where necessary.

¹⁰⁵ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/standards-of-evidence-and-evaluation-self-assessment-tool/</u>.

Putting it into practice

Self-assessment

- 182. Undertaking an evaluation self-assessment helps a provider to identify its strengths and weaknesses in its evaluation, and plan for how it will improve the quality of its evaluation practice over the duration of the plan.
- 183. The OfS evaluation self-assessment tool was designed to help a provider understand whether its evaluation plans and methodologies go far enough to generate high quality evidence about the impact of activities in its plan. It is a five-point framework to support a provider to assess and develop its evaluation. It encourages a provider to reflect upon where it is now and identify improvements in the following areas:
 - the strategic context for evaluation
 - how evaluation and evidence shape current programme design
 - the current quality and rigour of evaluation design
 - the current quality and rigour of evaluation implementation
 - how **learning** from evidence and insight from evaluation shape improvements.
- 184. If a provider has used the OfS evaluation self-assessment tool, it will be given a score of emerging, good or advanced, alongside numerical scores for each of the five dimensions of evaluation set out above. These scores can be shared in the plan to show the level a provider is currently working at and used to set a commitment to where it aims to be by a certain point in time.
- 185. A provider can download the tool, and information on how to complete it, from the OfS website.¹⁰⁶

Understand strategic context

186. Evaluation is an important aspect of a whole provider strategic approach to access and participation. Reviewing the strategic context in which a provider's evaluation takes place could include a description of how skills, capabilities and expertise are being drawn upon from across its organisation. This description may also reference planned participation in collaborative networks and engagement with students.

Design activities

187. Good programme design has an evaluation plan established at the start. Building effective evaluation into intervention strategies is best achieved by evaluating individual activities that contribute towards the overall objective of the intervention strategy. By doing this, a provider can build up an understanding of which activities are 'working' towards an objective, and which are not.

¹⁰⁶ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/standards-of-evidence-and-evaluation-self-assessment-tool/.</u>

188. Developing a theory of change will help a provider to understand the change it wants to make through an intervention strategy and associated activities. More information on using evidence and developing a theory of change in relation to programme design can be found in the section <u>Develop a theory of change</u>.

Design evaluation

- 189. Establishing how the outcomes of activities can be evaluated credibly is best done at the start of the development of any activity. Undertaking an evaluability assessment may be one way of determining what elements to focus on.¹⁰⁷
- 190. Once a provider has determined the focus of the evaluation for each activity that it is evaluating, it is important to ensure that the evaluation approach and methods of data collection and analysis are appropriate to evaluation questions and are aligned with the objectives of the evaluation. For further support a provider can review the OfS-commissioned review into the different data that supports planning, delivery and evaluation of access and participation interventions.¹⁰⁸ It is important that a provider considers appropriate data collection and GDPR compliance arrangements at the design stage to avoid challenges later on.
- 191. Both qualitative and quantitative methods are welcome in plans, and the methods used will depend on what is being evaluated. Using different methods and then triangulating findings can be useful in understanding the effectiveness and impact of a provider's activities.¹⁰⁹ This can enable a provider to gain insights into how its activities are working and the impact they are having.
- 192. A provider may wish to consider the following when designing evaluations:
 - potential barriers to preferred approach
 - the availability of expertise needed to conduct this evaluation properly
 - if collaboration is needed to make this evaluation work well
 - exploring counterfactuals, i.e. what would happen to target groups if a provider did nothing
 - the number of participants needed to help get the insights needed
 - the number of years a provider wants to run the evaluation for
 - whether comparison groups are appropriate and, if so, whether the selection method takes account of possible selection bias
 - what happens if a provider is running a small programme

¹⁰⁷ See <u>https://www.betterevaluation.org/methods-approaches/themes/evaluability-assessment.</u>

¹⁰⁸ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/data-use-for-access-and-participation/</u>.

¹⁰⁹ See <u>https://www.betterevaluation.org/methods-approaches/approaches.</u>

- what kinds of data are needed for the chosen approach, what method will be used to collect data, when to collect it and how often (before, during and after), and how data can be stored securely
- which outcomes of intervention strategies will be evaluated
- how findings will be disseminated (for more information, see the section <u>Learn from and</u> <u>disseminate findings</u>).
- 193. There are several tools that can support a provider in choosing the most appropriate methods:
 - TASO's evaluation guidance, including its guidance on impact evaluation with small cohorts¹¹⁰
 - The OfS's evaluation toolkits, including the financial support toolkit, the evaluation of outreach interventions for under-16s development tool, and the whole provider approach evaluation tool¹¹¹
 - The OfS standards of evidence (see <u>Use the OfS standards of evidence</u> for more information), including further information and case studies related to each type of evidence.¹¹²
- 194. Other tools to help a provider identify appropriate evaluation methods are available, such as the Centre for the Evaluation of Complexity Across the Nexus (CECAN)'s Choosing Appropriate Evaluation Methods tool¹¹³ or the evaluation support tool by Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime (MOPAC).¹¹⁴ A provider should take care to ensure that approaches are in line with the OfS's expectations where tools are not specific to an education context.
- 195. Some providers may wish to apply to join TASO's evaluation trials related to specific interventions, and providers can include these intentions in the plan. Providers already participating in TASO's evaluation trials can inform us of this in the plan too.

Implement an evaluation plan

196. To enable a provider to implement its evaluation effectively, it will need to set up processes and arrangements to ensure the relevant data can be collected, stored and analysed

¹¹⁰ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/evaluation-guidance-resources/</u>.

¹¹¹ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/</u>.

¹¹² Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/standards-of-evidence-and-evaluation-self-assessment-tool/</u>.

¹¹³ Available at <u>https://www.cecan.ac.uk/news/choosing-appropriate-evaluation-methods-a-tool-for-assessment-and-selection-version-two/</u>.

¹¹⁴ Some of the questions in this tool are specific to MOPAC and the context in which they are evaluating. However, it may be a useful tool for providers to use. Available at <u>https://www.london.gov.uk/programmes-strategies/mayors-office-policing-and-crime/data-and-statistics/mopac-academic-research/mopac-evaluations?ac-53042=53041</u>.

appropriately and to include the necessary ethical, safeguarding, legal and risk considerations.¹¹⁵

- 197. There may be different expectations in individual providers about gaining formal ethical approval for evaluation (sometimes different to research activity). TASO has produced guidance and tools for providers to support getting the appropriate ethical approval.¹¹⁶ Regardless of whether ethical approval is sought, well established ethical principles should be considered.¹¹⁷
- 198. Working in partnership to deliver evaluation can be beneficial in terms of cost and knowledge sharing, and sharing analysis and reporting. For example:
 - internally with other departments
 - with other providers
 - with partnership schools, colleges, and community groups
 - where they have appropriate data sharing agreements in place, smaller providers can collaborate to use the statistical tool of the OfS financial support toolkit
 - providers delivering similar activities can standardise the activities and outcomes to increase the sample size and evaluate
 - providers with more developed evaluation capability and capacity can support smaller providers they work in partnership with
 - with other members of a tracking service using any collaborative tools offered, for example HEAT has developed a tool where its members can share and peer review each other's evaluation plans, to ensure that members are setting the most appropriate and robust evaluation plans possible.
- 199. Tracking services are membership services that collect data about participants engaging in different outreach activities. Tracking in itself is not an evaluation method but can provide useful longitudinal data as part of an evaluation.
- 200. A provider can access other services to support its evaluations, for example UCAS's Outreach Evaluator¹¹⁸ and from ImpactED.¹¹⁹
- 201. Responding rapidly to interim findings or process evaluations can help keep a provider on track for its objectives and targets and improve its activities and practice.

¹¹⁵ See the Information Commissioner's Office website for resources and support: <u>https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/</u>.

¹¹⁶ Available at <u>https://taso.org.uk/evidence/research-ethics-guidance/</u>.

¹¹⁷ Available at <u>https://evaluation.org.uk/community-learning/resources/good-practice-guidelines/</u>.

¹¹⁸ See <u>https://www.ucas.com/providers/services/our-products-and-services/understand-your-customers/outreach-evaluator-tracking-results</u>.

¹¹⁹ See <u>https://impacted.org.uk</u>.

- 202. For smaller providers or providers evaluating a small group of students, a credible plan in relation to evaluation could:
 - refer to TASO guidance on best practice for evaluations with low student numbers¹²⁰
 - collaborate with other providers delivering a similar activity on the evaluation to increase the sample size and share skills and resources.

Learn from and disseminate findings

- 203. For evaluation to be effective, it needs to be translated into practice. There are a number of ways a provider can learn from and share its evaluation findings so that the sector can learn about what works and what can be improved.
- 204. We expect a provider to publish findings on short, medium and long-term outcomes, or other relevant findings, through a range of channels, both internally and externally.
- 205. A provider may publish its evaluation findings through a number of channels, including (but not limited to):
 - Peer reviewed or non-peer reviewed reports or papers hosted on a provider's own webpages
 - REF submissions (where appropriate)
 - blogs and webpages
 - papers in academic journals
 - calls for evidence
 - at conferences (e.g. talks or poster presentations)
 - learning lunches, network meetings or network communication channels.
- 206. The manner in which a provider publishes the findings of evaluations may depend on the context of the provider, the audience, the evaluation undertaken, and the stage at which the evaluation is at (e.g. interim or final). Where possible it is good practice to ensure that findings are open access, so that they can be easily read by different audiences.
- 207. Sharing evaluation findings of what has worked, has not worked and for whom and in what contexts will help grow the evidence base for equality of opportunity in higher education.
- 208. The OfS intends to create a repository where providers can submit their evaluation findings over the duration of the plan. It is anticipated that providers will share their evaluation outputs to the repository which will assess the output and rate the type and strength of evidence generated. We will update this advice with more information in future.

¹²⁰ See <u>https://taso.org.uk/libraryitem/resources-impact-evaluation-with-small-cohorts/#what-is-small-n-evaluation</u>.

209. Peer review and external validation of findings helps to add credibility to the findings when sharing and publishing. This could involve practitioners working with academics during the evaluation or when analysing the findings, informal peer review with other providers, participating as a research partner in an evaluation trial for TASO, or commissioning or partnering with appropriate organisations that provide evaluation support. Members of the UK Evaluation Society can take part in a voluntary peer review process.¹²¹

Use the OfS standards of evidence

- 210. It is for a provider to judge what activities within an intervention strategy should be evaluated, what type of evaluation should be conducted, and what type of evidence will be generated, as the evaluation will be context specific. A credible intervention strategy will state what types of evidence will be generated or have been used to inform the activities.
- 211. The OfS standards of evidence categorise different types of knowledge to help providers understand which type of evidence is appropriate and most useful to inform their programme design.¹²² The table below sets out the different types and the claims that can be made for each type:¹²³

¹²¹ Available at <u>https://www.evaluation.org.uk/about-us/get-involved/</u>.

¹²² See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/standards-of-evidence-and-evaluation-self-assessment-tool/</u>.

¹²³ Table 1 has been adapted from the Access and participation standards of evidence research. See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/media/6971cf8f-985b-4c67-8ee2-4c99e53c4ea2/access-and-participation-standards-of-evidence.pdf</u> (PDF).

Table 1: Three types of evidence

Type of evidence	Description	Evidence	Claims that can be made
Type 1 – narrative	The impact evaluation provides a narrative or a coherent theory of change to motivate its selection of activities in the context of a coherent strategy.	Evidence of impact elsewhere and/or in the research literature on access and participation activity effectiveness or from existing evaluation results.	We have a coherent explanation of what we do and why our claims are research- based.
Type 2 – empirical enquiry	The impact evaluation collects data on impact and reports evidence that those receiving an intervention have better outcomes, though does not establish any direct causal effect.	Quantitative and/or qualitative evidence of a pre/post intervention change or a difference compared to what might otherwise have happened.	We can demonstrate that our interventions are associated with beneficial results.
Type 3 – causality	The impact evaluation methodology provides evidence of a causal effect of an intervention.	Quantitative and/or qualitative evidence of a pre/post treatment change on participants relative to an appropriate control or comparison group who did not take part in the intervention.	We believe our intervention causes improvement and can demonstrate the difference using a control or comparison group.

- 212. The standards of evidence are not intended as a hierarchy and, as such, there are circumstances in which generating one type of evidence is more appropriate than another. There is further information to support providers in making these judgements available on the OfS website.¹²⁴ For illustrative example only, it may not be possible or appropriate to generate causal (Type 3) evidence if:
 - a provider is delivering a one-off light touch activity that is not part of a multiintervention programme and is not fundamental to meeting its wider objectives
 - it is not feasible to have a counterfactual or comparator group
 - a provider is evaluating a small sample (although it may be able to collaborate with other providers or organisations to increase the sample size)

¹²⁴ Available at <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/for-providers/equality-of-opportunity/evaluation/standards-of-evidence-and-evaluation-self-assessment-tool/</u>.

- a provider does not have or cannot source the skills to conduct experimental or quasiexperimental evaluation
- a particular intervention already has a strong evidence base and a provider's evaluation will not add value to this.

Example

College of Education is a small provider with approximately 900 students, mostly studying undergraduate courses. The provider has set out an intervention strategy that seeks to increase on-course attainment for students in receipt of free school meals (FSM). Four activities will contribute towards this goal: a collaborative project with a third-party organisation to raise attainment at pre-16; financial support for FSM students on-course; additional academic support for FSM students on-course; and an activity designed to increase sense of belonging for FSM on-course students.

The provider has stated that it will not evaluate the overall intervention strategy, but it does intend to evaluate three out of the four activities. It will focus its evaluation on new activity that is it delivering which has a weaker evidence base. The provider has set out that:

- It intends to evaluate the increased sense-of-belonging and the additional academic support activities using both quantitative and qualitative methods. It will track attainment outcomes and participation in the different activities, and will also undertake focus groups with participants.
- It is working in collaboration with the third-party organisation to evaluate raising attainment at pre-16 activity, and will generate Type 2 evidence as it does not have a comparator group.

The provider states that each activity will be evaluated for full impact across the four years of the plan. However, it will produce interim evaluation reports at the end of each academic year. It states that all of its evaluation activity will be published on its website, and it will also seek to present it at conferences and will share through formal networks that it belongs to.

The provider has two other intervention strategies, and has also detailed the extent to which it will evaluate these. The provider has further stated that it will be expanding the evaluation team in 2026, and will subsequently increase evaluation and outputs.

Investment

We would like to know how much a provider will be investing in each of its intervention strategies, as well as total investment in access, financial support and in research and evaluation. We consider this will enable a provider to evaluate the effectiveness of its interventions and activities.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Investment	38i
	92-107

Further advice

213. A provider is expected to provide an estimate of the investment required to deliver its intervention strategies in the fees, investments and targets (FIT) document that it submits to the OfS alongside its plan. A provider is expected to consider whether the amount being invested is realistic and credible in addressing the objectives it sets in its plan.

Putting it into practice

214. For all areas of investment, the following may be included:

- the direct costs of delivering activities, including:
 - relevant staffing (payroll costs of staff time spent on planning and delivering activities)
 - renumeration costs of access and participation work undertaken by student ambassadors, student associations, unions or guilds or alumni that are funded by the institution
 - staff learning and development
 - travel and other logistic costs of access and participation project delivery
 - outsourced research and evaluation projects
 - subscription and membership costs
 - costs of gathering and analysing data
 - subscriptions to tracking services

- funding PhDs, and other research projects
- subscriptions to appropriate journals and academic societies
- the indirect/support costs of activity, including:
 - payroll costs of academic staff time apportioned to access and participation work
 - infrastructure costs apportioned to strategic projects
 - the direct costs of delivering financial support.
- 215. For all financial data, figures should be in thousands of pounds (£'000s).
- 216. Providers should ensure that providing information on staff FTE or costs does not breach UK GDPR. If a provider is unsure, an indication of inputs and costs can be provided against each activity or this figure can be included in the overall cost of each intervention strategy.

Intervention strategy investment

- 217. We expect a provider to set out the investment required to deliver each of the individual intervention strategies that it has described in its access and participation plan in the FIT document.
- 218. Estimated investment for an intervention strategy ought only to relate to the work undertaken to support delivery of the access and participation plan objectives and the evaluation of these activities. A provider is expected to include the cost associated with delivering and evaluating the intervention strategy for students identified in the plan.
- 219. Further information regarding how to complete the FIT can be found in Annex D.¹²⁵

¹²⁵ Annex D is available at: <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-advice-6-how-to-prepare-your-access-and-participation-plan-effective-practice-advice/</u>.

Provision of information to students

Provision of information to students about the fees that they will be charged for the duration of their course and about the financial support that they are entitled to is an important requirement set out in the Higher Education (Access and Participations Plans) (England) Regulations 2018 (section 2, paragraph 2).

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Provision of information to students	38j
	108-112

- 220. A provider must include details of the arrangements in place to ensure that prospective students are provided with information about the fees they will be charged for the duration of their course.
- 221. A provider must also set out how it will make available to students, information about the financial support that students are entitled to. This should include eligibility criteria and the level of financial support students from underrepresented groups will be offered in each year of their studies.
- 222. A provider should ensure that it has ways of checking how clear and accessible the information that it provides on fees and financial support is.

Access and participation plan summary

The access and participation plan summary is important as it shows students, parents and others a provider's commitment to support equality of opportunity.

Summaries should be accessible to non-expert readers. A provider may have more than one summary if this is appropriate to ensure accessibility.

Key references

For guidance on how to meet the expectations for this aspect of an access and participation plan, see:

Regulatory notice 1	Paragraph(s)
Access and participation plan summary	35-37
Publication of an approved plan by a provider	141-142

Further advice

- 223. There is no expectation about what a plan summary will look like if it meets the expectations set out in Regulatory notice 1, including the information a summary should contain. A provider may use the template provided as Annex I, but other formats will be accepted to ensure the summary is communicated in a clear and accessible way.
- 224. The plan summary may be produced after the access and participation plan has been approved by the Director for Fair Access and Participation. A provider is expected to publish the plan summary alongside its approved access and participation plan and submit a link to the OfS within 28 days of receiving confirmation that its plan has been approved. Information on where a provider should send a link to its accessible plan summary and access and participation plan will be provided when relevant.

Putting it into practice

- 225. For a plan summary to be considered, we would expect to see the following:
 - a summary of what an access and participation plan is
 - where a provider's access and participation plan can be found
 - the key points of the plan
 - fees charged
 - financial help available
 - how a provider is informing students about the provisions of the plan

- what the provider is aiming to achieve
- what the provider is doing to achieve its aims
- how students can get involved in the planning, monitoring and evaluation of the plan
- how the plan is being evaluated
- contact details for further information.
- 226. We understand that a provider may wish to create more than one summary if this helps to ensure accessibility.
- 227. More information on what the OfS would expect to see in each section, and advice on how to write the summary, can be found in Annex H.

Annex A: Explanation of terms

Glossary

Association between characteristics of students (ABCS)

Association between characteristics of students (ABCS) is a set of analyses that seeks to better understand how outcomes vary for groups of students holding different sets of characteristics. We define groups of students by looking at a set of characteristics so that we can determine the effect of not just one characteristic on an outcome, but the effect of multiple characteristics.

Absolute performance

This is a term that we use in the context of our student outcomes indicators. It refers to the proportion of students that we observe to have achieved a certain outcome. As a calculated proportion (in technical terms, a point estimate), it provides a factual representation of the actual population of students present at a particular provider at a particular point in time, based on administrative student data. We refer to this as a measure of the provider's absolute performance.

Access

Access into higher education.

Access and participation dashboard

Our access and participation dashboard helps to compare different student groups and their peers across all stages of a student's involvement at English universities and colleges. The dashboard can be used by anyone with an interest in higher education and displays data across a time series to show how student access and participation has changed in recent years.

Access and participation dataset

This dataset contains the underlying data of the access and participation dashboard. It is published as data files alongside the dashboard on the OfS website.

Aim, objectives and targets

Aims are a provider's high-level aspirations. These may be general or more specific (where, for example, the provider has a particular remit for an underrepresented group, such as mature students or children from military families).

Objectives explain how these aims will be achieved and should be time bound. There may be a number of objectives that are related to meeting one strategic aim.

Targets show what progress the provider expects to make towards meeting its objectives over the duration of the plan.

Comparator group

A comparator group is defined relative to a target group and by student characteristics or combinations of characteristics that have better outcomes than the target group.

Degree apprenticeship

An apprenticeship is a full-time job where an employee also undertakes off-the-job training paid by the employer. A degree apprenticeship is an apprenticeship where the employee is studying towards an undergraduate degree as part of their apprenticeship.

Equality of opportunity

In the context of higher education, 'equality of opportunity' means that individuals are not hampered in accessing and succeeding in higher education as a result of their background or circumstances they cannot fairly influence.

Equality of Opportunity Risk Register (EORR)

This is the OfS risk register that sets out the greatest sector-wide risks to equality of opportunity in English higher education.

Free school meals (FSM) eligibility

Whether or not a student has been eligible to receive free school meals in the six years prior to the March census date in their final year of key stage four (year 11). This eligibility criteria can be used as an indication of students' disadvantage.

Higher education provider

An institution that delivers higher education, as defined in Schedule 6 of the Education Reform Act 1988. A provider can be a body with degree awarding powers or deliver higher education on behalf of another awarding body.

Identifiable information

Identifiable information is Information that relates to an individual who is identified or may be identifiable. An individual is 'identified' or 'identifiable' if they can be distinguished from other individuals.

Indications of risk

An indication of risk is the term used by the OfS to refer to a potential impact of a risk to equality of opportunity in relation to higher education, that is visible in data or apparent through other insights. For example, lower continuation rates for a specific student group in comparison to another is a potential indication of risk of insufficient academic support (a risk to equality of opportunity).

Intervention strategy

An intervention strategy in an access and participation plan is an activity that the provider plans to deliver to meet a specific objective.

Mental health conditions

This refers to a mental health condition reported by the student to their university or college that has a substantial impact on that student's ability to carry out day-to-day activities and has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 months.

POLAR4

The participation of local areas (POLAR) classification looks at how likely young people are to participate in higher education across the UK and shows how this varies by area. POLAR4 uses data for young people who entered higher education between the academic years 2009-10 and 2014-15 (aged either 18 or 19). POLAR4 is used as a historical measure, which may be used with TUNDRA to lead to more insights about higher education participation than one measure alone.

Protected characteristics

Protected characteristics are the grounds on which it is illegal to discriminate against someone under the Equality Act 2010.¹²⁶ They are:

- age
- disability
- gender reassignment
- marriage and civil partnership
- pregnancy and maternity
- race
- religion or belief
- sex
- sexual orientation.

Risk to equality of opportunity

Risks to equality of opportunity occur when the actions or inactions of an individual, organisation or system may reduce another individual's choices about the nature and direction of their life.

Robust evaluation

A robust evaluation would withstand challenge and scrutiny, in terms of the quality of its design and implementation. This includes the quality of the individual methods (for example, adequate sampling strategies and sizes, well-tested tools for surveys or interviews, adherence to ethical principles, appropriate training for researchers) as well as the overall evaluation approach (the extent to which the evaluation provides evidence of a causal effect of an intervention). It might also include the independence of the evaluation and adequate peer review, to quality-assure the design and execution of the evaluation.

Statistical uncertainty

The indicators we calculate to inform our regulation of access and participation are the proportions of students that we observe to have achieved a certain outcome (in technical terms, point estimates), meaning that they provide a factual representation of the actual population of students present at a particular higher education provider at a particular time.

If our interest were solely the observation of past events, then it would be appropriate to rely solely on these values. However, we are seeking to use the indicator values as representations of the

¹²⁶ See <u>https://www.gov.uk/guidance/equality-act-2010-guidance</u>.

most likely underlying performance in respect of student outcomes and experiences, and in respect of equality of opportunity.

As the actual students in a provider's observed population are just one possible realisation of many other populations of students who could have attended that provider, or may do so in the future, statistical uncertainty exists because of the potential for random variation in student behaviours and outcomes.

This means that the indicator values may not always be accurate or precise measures of the underlying performance that they aim to represent. Our regulatory approaches take account of this uncertainty by using a statistical approach that identifies the range within which each provider's underlying performance measure could confidently be said to lie. The full details of this approach are set out in our 'Description and definition of student outcome and experience measures' document.¹²⁷

Target group

A target group is defined by a student characteristic, or combination of characteristics, that is underrepresented in higher education or has poorer outcomes. It represents a group for which a provider may consider developing an intervention strategy in order to address a risk to equality of opportunity through the objectives of its plan.

TASO

The Centre for Transforming Access and Student Outcomes in Higher Education (TASO) is an independent organisation and affiliate What Works Centre that undertakes and uses research and evaluation to determine what works in eliminating risks to equality of opportunity in higher education.

Teaching Excellence Framework (TEF)

The TEF is a scheme operated by the OfS that aims to incentivise excellence in teaching, learning and student outcomes. The scheme rates higher education providers for excellence above a set of minimum requirements for quality and standards that they must satisfy if they are registered with the OfS. The TEF aims to incentivise a higher education provider to improve and to deliver excellence above these minimum requirements, for its mix of students and courses.

Theory of change

For the purposes of explaining our expectations, we have adopted TASO's definition of a theory of change: A theory of change is 'a visual representation of a programme's inputs, activities, outputs, outcomes and underlying causal mechanisms'.¹²⁸

Tracking and trackers

A database used for monitoring and evaluation that longitudinally tracks participants who have taken part in access and participation activity.

¹²⁷ See <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/description-and-definition-of-student-outcome-and-experience-measures/</u>.

¹²⁸ See <u>https://taso.org.uk/libraryitem/monitoring-and-evaluation-framework-mef/</u>.

TUNDRA

Tracking underrepresentation by area (TUNDRA) is an area-based measure that uses tracking of state-funded mainstream school pupils in England to calculate young participation. TUNDRA is a supplement to POLAR4. Using both of these together can lead to more insights about higher education participation than one of the measures alone.

Uni Connect

Uni Connect is a programme with 29 partnerships of universities, colleges and other local partners, which supports young people to achieve their ambitions through helping remove academic, financial and cultural barriers to higher education. It does this by supporting impartial, collaborative outreach, attainment-raising and higher education providers to engage schools.

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Meaning
ABSS	Addressing Barriers to Student Success
ABCS	Associations Between Characteristics of Students
CECAN	Centre for the Evaluation of Complexity Across the Nexus
DfE	Department for Education
DipHE	Diploma of Higher Education
EEF	Education Endowment Foundation
EMWPREP	East Midlands Widening Participation Research and Evaluation Partnership
EORR	Equality of Opportunity Risk Register
FIT	Fees, investments and target
FSM	Free School Meals
FTE	Full time equivalent
GDPR	UK General Data Protection Regulation
GO	Graduate Outcomes (survey)
HEAT	Higher Education Access Tracker
HEFCE	Higher Education Funding Council for England
HERA	Higher Education and Research Act (2017)
HESA	Higher Education Statistics Agency
HNC	Higher National Certification
HND	Higher National Diploma
нто	Higher Technical Qualification
IfATE	Institute for Apprenticeships and Technical Education
LEO	Longitudinal Education Outcomes (survey)
LEP	Local Enterprise Partnership
МОРАС	Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime
NUS	National Union of Students
OfS	Office for Students

Abbreviation	Meaning
ONS	Office for National Statistics
POLAR	Participation of Local Areas (classification)
PSRBs	Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Bodies
SLC	Student Loans Company
TEF	Teaching Excellence Framework
UCAS	Universities and Colleges Admissions Service
UKPRN	UK Provider Reference Number

Annex B: Access and participation plan submission checklist

By submitting your application via the OfS portal, you are confirming that:

- you have read Regulatory advice 6 and Regulatory notice 1
- you have uploaded all your documents, as set out below.

Documents

Have you uploaded the following documents to the OfS provide portal?

- Access and participation plan
 - Annex A: Further information and analysis relating to the identification and prioritisation of key risks to equality of opportunity
 - Annex B: Further information that sets out the rationale, assumptions and evidence base for each intervention strategy that is included in the access and participation plan.
- Fees, investment and targets document.

Access and participation plans should:

- be in an accessible Word document format (see plan template for details)
- not exceed 30 pages of A4, excluding annexes
- exclude personal details or any data which makes individuals identifiable.

Student submission

Have you notified students that you are submitting your plan so that they can submit the optional student submission to app@officeforstudents.org.uk?

For queries, please contact app@officeforstudents.org.uk.

Annexes C-I

The following annexes are published separately and are available at: <u>www.officeforstudents.org.uk/publications/regulatory-advice-6-how-to-prepare-your-access-and-participation-plan-effective-practice-advice/</u>

- Annex C: Access and participation plan template
- Annex D: Guidance on completing the Fees, investments and targets (FIT) document
- Annex E: Template for fees, investments and targets (FIT) document
- Annex F: Student submission guidance
- Annex G: Student submission template
- Annex H: Guidance for completing a summary of approved access and participation plan
- Annex I: Plan summary template.



© The Office for Students copyright 2023

This publication is available under the Open Government Licence 3.0 except where it indicates that the copyright for images or text is owned elsewhere.

www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/