



Lessons learned from 200K students and 2 GB of learning gains data

Birmingham, Learning Gains conference 12 March 2019

https://twitter.com/LearningGains

https://abclearninggains.com/



The results of the ABC project were made possible due to Simon Cross, Ceri Hitching, Ian Kinchin, Simon Lygo-Baker, Allison Littlejohn, Jekaterina Rogaten, Bart Rienties, George Roberts, Ian Scott, Rhona Sharpe, Steve Warburton, and Denise Whitelock. Please contract <u>bart.rienties@open.ac.uk</u> if you want to know more about ABC learning gains







Research Team



Prof Bart Rienties Open University



Dr Simon Cross Open University



Dr Jekaterina Rogaten Open University



Prof Rhona Sharpe University of Surrey



Prof Ian Kinchin University of Surrey



Dr lan Scott Oxford Brookes



Dr Simon Lygo-Baker University of Surrey



Dr George Roberts Oxford Brookes



Prof Denise Whitelock Open University



Prof Allison Littlejohn Open University



- What have we learned from systematic literature review of 52 studies focussed on ABC learning gains (n = 42000+ students)?
- 2. What have we learned from 200K students and 2 GB of learning gains data in terms of ABC learning gains?

2. What have we learned from 200K students and 2 GB of learning gains data in terms of ABC learning gains?

- Affective: 1 cycle of data from OU, 1 cycle from OB
- Behavioural: 1 cycle of data from OU, 1 cycle from US
- Cognitive: 2 cycles of data from OU, 1 cycle from US and OB
- Communication with OFS with types of data collected

	OU	OB	US
data	Grades and	Grades and	Grades and
	demographics data	demographics data	demographics data
File size	1.45GB	5,59 MB	213 MB +26.78 MB
Number of students	166,722	2,653 (21 – 241 per	25,825 (171 – 4276)
		department)	
Number of	246	18	21
qualifications/departments			



Affective learning gains

- O Using student satisfaction data for proxies for affective learning gains was not an appropriate approach.
- O First, there was a lack of consistent data over time for sufficiently large numbers of students.
- O Second, substantial variation in student satisfaction rates across modules, so changes in measured affective learning gains are more likely to arise from differences in sequences of modules

- O Third, those who completed the student satisfaction surveys were not representative for the wider student population.
- O Fourth, when comparing the approaches across the institutions, the lack of standardisation of student satisfaction approaches, constructs, and items made it impossible to compare potential differences in learning gains across institutions over time.



Behavioural learning gains

- O Engagement data from VLE not good proxy for behaviour learning gains
- O First of all, engagement of students in a respective module is strongly dependent by the learning design.
- O Second, even if proxies for engagement could be identified, our research showed that the types of engagement will heavily be influenced by the type of learning design

- O Third, related research looking at finegrained analyses of what students are actually studying, and when, showed substantial variation in engagement and successful learning approaches
- O In other words, our longitudinal analyses showed that our LMS proxies of engagement were not effective for understanding how students made behavioural learning gains over time.

Using Grades as proxies for cognitive learning gains

David Boud⁴⁴:

O "The most problematic feature of current marking practice is that it is not possible to associate any reported mark with what a student can or cannot do. The meaning of the mark is not described in terms of the standards to be reached as articulated in the stated learning outcomes. Outside its immediate context, it is not clear what meaning should be attached to a mark. Marks act as obscuring devices".



Den

he

niversity





0

0

0

Ο



Rogaten, J., Rienties, B., & Whitelock, D. (2017). Assessing Learning Gains. In D. Joosten-ten Brinke & M. Laanpere (Eds.), Technology Enhanced Assessment. TEA 2016. Communications in Computer



O Cognitive learning gains were measured in five ways:

- O 1. Cognitive learning gains within modules
- O 2. Cognitive learning gains from first to second module
- O 3. Cognitive learning gains within a qualification
- O 4. Cognitive learning gains across different qualifications
- O 5. Cognitive learning gains between institutions

	First assessment		Final Assessment		Average continuous assessment score	
Module	M (Median)	SD	M (Median)	SD	M (Median)	SD
Module 1	83.3 (86)	11.0	89.5 (92)	9.3	82.3 (86)	14.3
Module 2	55.0 (47)	22.2	88.0 (91)	11.6	72.3 (72)	21.6
Module 3	77.9 (80)	12.5	84.7 (87)	9.6	74.1 (74)	18.2
Module 4	70.7 (74.5)	17.8	81.1 (83)	12.7	73.0 (73)	17.0
Module 5	77.2 (79)	12.3	84.2 (86)	9.0	76.7 (77)	13.4
Module 6	88.2 (91)	9.6	89.6 (91)	7.7	82.7 (83)	13.2
Module 7	41.1 (42)	5.5	79.3 (86)	18.7	62.2 (62)	22.0
Module 8	85.0 (87)	10.8	89.8 (90)	6.6	80.9 (81)	14.5
Module 9	81.4 (83)	9.9	86.1 (87)	8.0	76.7 (77)	13.8

Note: The TMAs are marked on a scale from 0 to 100. The minimum passing mark is 40.



Rogaten, J., & Rienties, B. (2018). Which first-year students are making most learning gains in STEM subjects? Higher Education Pedagogies, 3(1), 161-172. doi: 10.1080/23752696.2018.1484671



- O Cognitive learning gains were measured in five ways:
- O 1. Cognitive learning gains within modules
- O 2. Cognitive learning gains from first to second module
- O 3. Cognitive learning gains within a qualification
- O 4. Cognitive learning gains across different qualifications
- O 5. Cognitive learning gains between institutions



Rogaten, J., Clow, D., Edwards, C., Gaved, M., Rienties, B. (Accepted with minor revision: 12-07-2018). Do we need to re-imagine university assessment in a digital world? A big data exploration.



- O Cognitive learning gains were measured in five ways:
- O-1. Cognitive learning gains within modules
- O 2. Cognitive learning gains from first to second module
- O 3. Cognitive learning gains within a qualification
- O 4. Cognitive learning gains across different qualifications
- O 5. Cognitive learning gains between institutions





0

Ο

0

0



Time

OXFORD BROOKES UNIVERSITY

Cognitive learning gains

- O Cognitive learning gains were measured in five ways:
- O 1. Cognitive learning gains within modules
- O 2. Cognitive learning gains from first to second module
- O 3. Cognitive learning gains within a qualification
- O 4. Cognitive learning gains across different qualifications
- O 5. Cognitive learning gains between institutions



OXFORD BROOKES UNIVERSITY

Cognitive learning gains

- O Cognitive learning gains were measured in five ways:
- O-1. Cognitive learning gains within modules
- O 2. Cognitive learning gains from first to second module
- O 3. Cognitive learning gains within a qualification
- O 4. Cognitive learning gains across different qualifications
- O 5. Cognitive learning gains between institutions







O Cognitive learning gains were measured in five ways:

- O 1. Cognitive learning gains within modules
- O 2. Cognitive learning gains from first to second module
- O 3. Cognitive learning gains within a qualification
- O 4. Cognitive learning gains across different qualifications
- O 5. Cognitive learning gains between institutions





O Cognitive learning gains were	Table 1 Proportion of variance explained by qualification, studentcharacteristics, and across modules (OU, OB, US)					
O <u>1. Cognitive learning gains within</u> modules	The proportion of variance due to the differences	OU	OB	US		
O 2. Cognitive learning gains from first to second module	Level 3: Between qualifications	12%	8%	22%		
O 3 . Cognitive learning gains within a qualification	Level 2: Between students	45%	67%	22% *		
O 4. Cognitive learning gains across different qualifications	Level 1 Between modules (i.e.,	43%	25%	56%		
O 5. Cognitive learning gains between institutions	modules any one student completed)					
	Number of students (n)	18329	1990	1547		

What students think they gain?

I think I am more openly critical (in the positive sense)

I observe things better, work into deeper and work on the whole picture rather than narrow.

I think more logically and more 'why did that happen, why did that happen', there is more questioning, instead of just to accept things.

now I say, 'you know what, I can do that in future'.

Day to day when I have my book I have very different approach from recording my notes for example

I am much better at time management, I am much more organised now and planning things in advance.

[in my new job], there will reports and planning to be drawn and I think that this will be an aspect of my job where I can say yes the OU study and discipline I've received from the OU has actually contributed to that.

I feel more confident and I am happier because I am doing something I have always wanted to be doing and something that interests me I think I can go confidently to speak what I learned. But even to a job that isn't directly related to this subject area. I could talk about my experiences, my time management, team working, computer skills as I feel much more confident, I can say, 'actually I have done this'. Which was one of the reasons I wanted to a degree.



Do grades matter?

How well do your grades represent your progress?

probably in the same way that many other people when they look at their own assignment results and exam results I feel that I am doing fairly well but I'd always like to improve myself to my results.

Even if it is 1-2 marks I say what did I do differently and I go back to tutor to see what did I do differently. What happened, what caused it?

Well there are questions with the text books, exercises. So if I get correct answer, I know I am doing fine. When I say correct answer that's not the end product that's the whole answer check through it

I get quite upset when I get around 70s

... because I am putting so much effort I want my grades to reflect it. They usually go up. But it is Marginal. 5 marks across all the TMAs that's the variance, it just varies very slightly

"I suppose you could say... the skills you learn, like group work, presenting and being able to talk to people... I would say the main way that you think about [achievement], it's just the grade because... that's what is going on your CV... and affect what job you get. ... I'd say the skills you learn as well as becoming an all-rounded person are quite important as well".







Lessons learned from 200K students and 2 GB of learning gains data

Birmingham, Learning Gains conference 12 March 2019

https://twitter.com/LearningGains

https://abclearninggains.com/



The results of the ABC project were made possible due to Simon Cross, Ceri Hitching, Ian Kinchin, Simon Lygo-Baker, Allison Littlejohn, Jekaterina Rogaten, Bart Rienties, George Roberts, Ian Scott, Rhona Sharpe, Steve Warburton, and Denise Whitelock. Please contract <u>bart.rienties@open.ac.uk</u> if you want to know more about ABC learning gains